

Evaluation of the UNFPA support to cens data availability to inform decision-making and policy formulation (2005-2014)

Side Event on Censuses at the 46th session of the UN Statistical Commission

New York, 3 March 2015

Alexandra Chambel, Evaluation Adviser

Independent Evaluation Office

CONTENT

- Introduction
- Governance and management
- Purpose, objectives and scope
- Financial support and typology of interventions
- Methodological approach of the evaluation
- Deliverables and key milestones

Introduction

INDEPENDENT EVALUATION OFFICE



THE PURPOSE OF EVALUATIONS

Means to demonstrate accountability to stakeholders on results achieved Thematic Evaluations

Supports evidencebased decisionmaking



Contributes important lessons learned to the knowledge base of the Organization Governance and management of the Census Evaluation

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT



- Evaluation Office: provides methodological guidance and quality assurance, supervision and oversight of the evaluation; ensures independence
- External Evaluation Team: carries out the evaluation (ICON)
- Evaluation reference group: provide technical inputs

Purpose, objectives and scope of the Census Evaluation

THE PURPOSE OF THE EVALUATION

Assess the extent to which the UNFPA support to population and housing censuses, over the last 10 years, strengthened national capacity for:

- Production and dissemination of quality disaggregated data
- Integration of evidence-based analysis into decision-making and policy formulation

OBJECTIVES OF EVALUATION

Specific objectives:

- To assess the relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability of the UNFPA support to the 2010 round of PHS
- To assess the extent to which census data are used, on policy formulation and development plans
- To identify lessons learned and generate knowledge to inform the midterm review of the strategic plan and the support of UNFPA to the 2020 census round

THE SCOPE OF THE EVALUATION

Scope in terms of focus:

- The relevance of UNFPA support to census data availability under the 2010 census round (2005-2014); and
- The implementation of the UNFPA support to enhancing national capacities on census data production, dissemination, analysis and use; focusing on the effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability and added value

The scope of the Evaluation is not:

 To assess the impact of the national policies, their quality and how they influence people (the population)

Scope in terms of coverage:

- six UNFPA regions
- countries where UNFPA has been involved directly (AWPs) or through soft aid activities – 134 countries

Financial support and typology of interventions

FINANCIAL SUPPORT (2005 – June 2014)



Year	Budgeted Amount for Census (USD)	Expenditure on Census (USD)	Implementation Rates
2005	\$24,203,053.37	\$22,829,497.70	94%
2006	\$14,473,758.71	\$13,033,323.52	90%
2007	\$56,776,512.81	\$45,358,149.86	80%
2008	\$62,503,881.35	\$47,492,558.65	76%
2009	\$21,964,026.14	\$16,852,357.46	77%
2010	\$28,647,978.78	\$22,436,638.95	78%
2011	\$33,871,019.74	\$27,142,581.26	80%
2012	\$19,444,677.17	\$14,830,772.68	76%
2013	\$14,424,714.04	\$9,142,614.03	63%
2014	\$42,116,554.40	\$8,102,203.64	19%
	\$318,426,176.51	\$227,220,697.75	71%



FINANCIAL SUPPORT (2005 – June 2014)





TOP 15 DONORS



TYPOLOGY OF INTERVENTION

The different categories of UNFPA intervention are:

•Advocacy and policy dialogue to encourage the undertaking of censuses, resource mobilization, and create political commitment to collect and use internationally comparable data;

Technical assistance and advice for planning, preparing, and carrying out the census including dissemination and analysis activities;

Service delivery for example, to pay enumerators, or to procure equipment and/or services for questionnaire data capture

Facilitation of South-South and triangular cooperation.

SUPPORT BY CATEGORY AND REGION

Region	Advocacy- Policy dialogue	TA- Capacity building	Service delivery & procurement	South- South	N. of countries supported
Asia- Pacific	44%	100%	56%	31%	32
Arab States	29%	93%	36%	14%	14
EECA	47%	87%	60%	20%	15
ESA	60%	100%	67%	13%	15
LAC	15%	73%	50%	18%	40
WCA	89%	100%	89%	0%	18
Total	42%	90%	58%	18%	134

Methodological approach of the evaluation

EVALUATION CRITERIA



SEVEN QUESTIONS



AT THREE LEVELS



KEY EVALUATION QUESTIONS

Evaluation question	Criteria
EQ1. To what extent was UNFPA support <u>aligned with partner</u> <u>government</u> priorities and national needs on availability of data on the one hand, and <u>UNFPA policies and strategies</u> on the other?	Belevance
EQ2. To what extent has UNFPA support enhanced the capacity National Statistical Offices (NSO) to enable the production a availability of quality census data? To what extent is this enhance capacity sustainable?	and litr
EQ3. To what extent have UNFPA-supported interventions contribut (or are likely to contribute) to a <u>sustained increase in the use</u> <u>population and housing census</u> and other relevant demographic a socio-economic data in the evidence-based development of pla programmes and policies to improve access to SRH and rights populat dynamics; gender equality at national and decentralized levels?	and vencess & and ans, and

KEY EVALUATION QUESTIONS

Evaluation question

EQ4. To what extent were **available resources adequate**, made available and used in a timely manner to support the 2010 census round? To what extent did UNFPA utilize synergies at country, regional and global levels with a view to support the implementation of the 2010 Round.

EQ5. To what extent has UNFPA made use of its existing <u>networks to</u> <u>establish partnerships</u> at country, regional and global level as well as promoting opportunities for <u>South-South Cooperation</u> with a view to support the implementation of the 2010 Round in a way that ensured an <u>swift implementation of the census and optimized the use of its</u> <u>results</u>?

EQ6. To what extent does UNFPA support to PHS data generation, analysis, dissemination and use, **add value** in comparison to other UN agencies and development partners at national, regional and global levels?

EQ7. To what extent has UNFPA support contributed to the **mainstreaming of human rights and gender equality** in the census process?

Efficiency

Effectiveness

Efficiency &

Added value

Effectiveness

AND FOR EACH QUESTION

Assumptions	Indicators	Sources of info	Methods & Tools
Possible answers to the questions formulated as hypothesis	Available body of facts/evidences supporting or challenging the assumption	Primary and secondary sources providing the facts / evidences (informants, documents, statistical digests, etc)	Methods and tools to collect the facts / evidences (interviews, groups discussions, surveys, desk review, focus groups)

CONTRIBUTION LINE



THEORY OF CHANGE



APPROACH FOR DATA COLLECTION

- Data collection at global, regional and country levels:
 - 13 countries: 6 in-depth country case studies; 7 countries for the extended desk review
 - 3 Surveys covering all 134 Programme Countries
 - Interviews to key informants at Global and Regional Levels



COUNTRY CASE STUDIES - TYPE A COUNTRIES

	Year the census was conducted							
Region	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Arab States	Palestine: Pop: 4.2m support: \$3.6m							
Asia Pacific								Myanmar Pop: 51.4m, support: \$36.3m
Eastern Europe and Central Asia				Tajikistan: Pop: 8.2m support: \$0.7m				
Eastern and Southern Africa			Kenya: Pop: 44.4m, support: \$8.5m					
Latin America and the Caribbean	Peru: pop: 30.4m, support: \$33.8m							
Western and Central Africa							Mauritania: Pop: 3.9m, support: \$4m	

EXTENDED DESK REVIEW - TYPE B COUNTRIES

Bangladesh	(2011)
Brazil	(2010)
Cameroon	(2005)
Belarus	(2009)
Indonesia	(2010)
Iraq	(Not done)
Rwanda	(2012)

TYPES OF COUNTRIES

Data collection tools

ΤΥΡΕ Α	 Face-to-face interviews & group discussions Direct observation Desk study CO soft aid and NSO capacity surveys 		
TYPE B	 Remote interviews Desk study Survey for national actors other than NSO (data users) CO soft aid survey NSO capacity survey 		
TYPE C •	Desk Study CO soft aid survey NSO capacity survey		

SURVEY ON UNFPA SUPPORT TO STRENGTHEN THE CAPACITIES OF NSOs

The objectives of the questionnaire are:

- to collect information on the type/nature of support provided, its effectiveness and relevance to strengthen the capacities of NSOs
- to capture elements of sustainability of the capacities strengthened
- to assess the quality of the support that NSOs have received from UNFPA

The answers to this questionnaire will be treated with confidentiality

WHERE ARE WE?



EVALUATION DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES

- Inception Report December 2014
- 6 Country case study final notes September 2015
- Publication of Synthesis Evaluation Report + Management Response – February 2016
- Evaluation Brief (EN, FR and SP) March 2016
- Presentation to the Executive Board June 2016

For further information on the methodological approach please refer to the Inception Report:

http://www.unfpa.org/admin-resource/evaluation-unfpasupport-population-and-housing-census-data-informdecision-making



Questions? THANK YOU!