

# Second Meeting of the Friends of the Chair Group on Economic Statistics

Agenda Item 7c

\*\*\*

Pathfinder governance projects which would demonstrate different  
governance mechanisms as options

\*\*\*

André Loranger, Statistics Canada

# Agenda

1 Guiding Principles

2 Governance Arrangements: Senior Management Level

3 Governance Arrangements: Domain-specific Expert Group Level

4 Membership, Terms of Reference and Reporting Relationships

5 Next Steps

The intent is  
not to be  
prescriptive but  
rather  
stimulate the  
discussion  
during the  
meeting

# Guiding Principles



## **ACCOUNTABILITY**

Commitment on outputs

Timetable for deliverables

Specific decision-making authority after consultation



## **COORDINATION**

To improve responsiveness of service delivery and establish greater coherence between deliverables.

Consultation on priorities



## **TRANSPARENCY**

Consultation with stakeholders

Transparency for users

# Governance – Senior Management Level

## Option 1 Status quo

Bureau of the Statistical Commission supported by the Secretariat to produce the global work program working closely with the existing statistical groups.

## Option 2 Facilitation or coordination group

Restricted mandate would limit the new group to facilitating the coordination and communication between the statistical groups and consulting on their global priorities.

## Option 3 Option 2 with added responsibilities

Coordination group from option 2 with broader mandate for providing a strategic vision, provide advice to the Statistical Commission and existing reporting lines would be respected.

## Option 4 Option 3 with modified reporting relations

Existing statistical groups would report to the new coordination/facilitation group.

# Governance – Domain-specific Expert Group Level

OPTION 1

Introducing cross cutting themes

OPTION 2

Seeking strategic alliances

OPTION 3

Broadening of mandate of existing statistical groups

OPTION 4

Establishing new committees of experts to fill strategic gaps

# Membership, Terms of Reference and Reporting Relationships

**All expert groups  
become inter-  
governmental in nature**

1.1 Intergovernmental  
governance by default

1.2 Inter-agency groups gradually  
adopt intergovernmental  
governance

**City groups  
establishing working  
relations with existing  
expert groups**

2.1 Informal relationship with  
expert groups

2.2 Formal relationship with  
expert groups

# Next Step



**Discussion at the 2<sup>nd</sup> FOC meeting**



**Arrive to a consensus on a proposal for governance**



**Establish clear path for FOC's 2<sup>nd</sup> year work plan**