Distributional National Accounts

Lucas Chancel

Visiting Associate Professor, Harvard University Co-Director, World Inequality Lab, Paris School of Economics

This presentation

Inequality data as a public good: the World Inequality Database project

What have we learned from recent research on global income & wealth dynamics?

Inequality is everywhere but still missing from public statistics

• Leaks, rich lists, social movements suggest large inequalities (in particular wealth inequalities)

• Public statistics in most countries still struggle to publish basic information about the distribution of income and wealth growth

• Issue of accountability in democracy

The objective of the Distributional National Accounts Project (DINA) is to fill this data gap

- 1950s-1970s: Pioneering work of Kuznets (1953) and Atkinson (1978) combining tax and national accounts data
- 2000-2010s: Project started with the publication of long run top income shares (Piketty, 2001, 2003; Piketty and Saez, 2003; Alvaredo et al. 2013)
 → World Top Income Database
- Since the mid-2010s: focus on top and bottom groups, income and wealth thanks to systematic combination of household surveys, national accounts, tax data rich lists
 - \rightarrow World Inequality Database

Methodological contribution: Distributional National Accounts guidelines

- **Flexible** approach to the distribution of national income and wealth within countries
- DINA use the strength of all data sources (tax, survey, nat. accounts, lists...) and combine them systematically and in a transparent manner
- A **cumulative** process: series are constantly improved thanks to better data access or methodological improvements
- **Collaborative** enterprise: computer codes, raw sources available online (WID.world, github) for anybody to contribute to the project



An international team of researchers contributing to the World Inequality Database over the years





Paolo Acciari MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND FINANCE (ITALY)

Arun Advani UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

FCONOMICS

Charlotte Bartels

GERMAN INSTITUTE FOR

ECONOMIC RESEARCH (DIW)

Nitin Bharti

Antoine Bozio

INSTITUT DES POLITIQUES

PUBLIQUES (IPP) AND PARIS

SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS





Marius Brülhart Pawel Bukowski UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS



Gabriel Burdín

UNIVERSIDAD DE LA

REPÚBLICA





Fabien Dell

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Matthew Fisher-Post

Juliette Fournier





Sota

Reto Foellmi

UNIVERSITÄT ST. GALLEN



François Delorme Carmen Durrer de la UNIVERSITÉ DE SHERBROOKE

Fernando Esponda



Verónica Amarante

ECONOMIC COMMISSION

CARIBBEAN

Pierre Bachas

WORLD BANK RESEARCH

GROUP

Augustin Bergeron

HARVARDUNIVERSITY

Florian Bonnet

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

FOR LATIN AMERICA AND THE

MADRID

Abhijit Banerjee

MIT

Yonatan Berman

LONDON MATHEMATICAL

LABORATORY

François Bourguignon

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Miguel Artola Lydia Assouad UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE PARIS SCHOOL OF



UNIVERSITY AND CONICET

PONTIFÍCIA UNIVERSIDAD

CATÓLICA DE CHILE

Luis Bauluz

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Thomas Blanchet

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Andrea Brandolini

STATISTICS AND RESEARCH

BANCA D'ITALIA



Lucas Chancel

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS AND IDDRI

SCIENCES PO

Cyrus Chu

ACADEMIA SINICA

Léo Czajka

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

François Burg

Nikolaos

Charalampidis

Denis Cogneau

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Conchita D'Ambrosio

UNIVERSITY OF

LUXEMBOURG

Raymundo Campos EL COLEGIO DE MÉXICO

Aroop Chatterjee

SOUTHERN CENTRE FOR

INFOUALITY STUDIES -

UNIVERSITY OF THE

WITWATERSRAND

Frank Cowell

LONDON SCHOOL OF

Mauricio De Rosa

UNIVERSIDAD DE LA

REPÚBLICA

Guilhem Cassan

LINIVERSITY OF NAMUR



Tevu Chou



Ignacio Flores

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS





Michael Förster

ORGANISATION FOR

Santiago Garriga

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Bertrand Garbinti CENTRE DE RECHERCHE EN ECONOMIE ET STATISTIQUE (CREST) - ENSAE - INSTITUT



Jonathan Goupille Lebret PARIS SCHOOL OF



Markus Jäntti



NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY











STOCKHOLMS UNIVERSITET













PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS





ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL

SCIENCE

Andre Decoster

UNIVERSITY OF LEUVEN

UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE I A PLATA

Leonardo Gasparini

Amory Gethin PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Yajna Govind

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Guillermo Cruces CEDLAS

An international team of researchers contributing to the World Inequality Database over the years



UMEÅ UNIVERSITET



Stephen Jenkins

LONDON SCHOOL OF

SCIENCE

ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL





Anders Jensen HARVARD KENNEDY SCHOOL

Branko Milanovic CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK



UNIVERSITY OF CANBERRA

Rivana Miranti Jørgen Modalsli



Elodie Moreau



Mark Price

KEYSTONE RESEARCH





Maria Riihela

MIT



Nancy Qian YALE UNIVERSITY

Anne-Sophie Robillia GOVERNMENT INSTITUTE OF INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE POUR LE DÉVELOPPEMENT ECONOMIC RESEARCH (VATT) (IRD - FRANCE)



Pamela Katic INTERNATIONAL WATER **ANAGEMENT INSTITUTE**



Nak Nyeon Kim DONGGUK UNIVERSITY

Murray Leibbrandt

UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN

Roxana Maurizio

IIEP-UBA-CONICET



Salvatore Morelli CENTRO STUDI DI ECONOMIA E FINANZA (CSEF)

Mathilde Muñoz

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Filip Novokmet

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS



Marc Morgan PARIS SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Brian Murphy

STATISTICS CANADA

Henry Ohlsson

SVERIGES RIKSBANK

Chiaki Moriguchi HITOTSUBASHI UNIVERSITY

STATISTISK SENTRALBYRÅ

Theresa Neef

Tahnee Ooms

UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

Florencia Pinto

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Rowaida Moshrif

Brian Nolan

OXFORD UNIVERSITY

Anna Orthofer

UNIVERSITY OF

STELLENBOSCH

Jesper Roine STOCKHOLM SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Emmanuel Saez Guillaume Saint UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Jacques AT REPKELEV



Wiemer Salverda

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDA

Claudia Sanhueza UNIVERSIDAD DIEGO PORTALES



Camille Landais

LONDON SCHOOL OF

SCIENCE

ONOMICS AND POLITICAL

iliana Londoño Velez

IIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-

BERKELEY

Isabel Martinez

JNIVERSITÄT ST. GALLEN



Wouter Leenders

LONDON SCHOOL OF

FCONOMICS AND POLITICAL

SCIENCE

Maria Ana Lugo

WORLD BANK

Clara Martínez

Toledano

IMPERIAL COLLEGE BUSINESS

Jacob Lundberg UPPSALA UNIVERSITY



Nora Lustig TULANE UNIVERSITY

Ricardo Mayer

UNIVERSIDAD DIEGO

PORTALES

Andrew Leigh

AUSTRALIAN HOUSE OF

REPRESENTATIVES



Elisa Palagi

INSTITUTE OF ECONOMICS. SANT'ANNA SCHOOL OF ADVANCED STUDIES, PISA (ITALY)



Thomas Piketty

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS AND ECOLE DES

HAUTES ETUDES EN SCIENCES

SOCIALES





Elena Pisano BANK OF ITALY

Aurélie Sotura PARIS SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Jakob Egholt Søgaard **Stefanie Stantcheva** THE DANISH MINISTRY OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY

Risto Sullstrom GOVERNMENT INSTITUTE O ECONOMIC RESEARCH (VAT



Estelle Sommeiller



















Paul Segal KING'S COLLEGE

Justin Sandefur

CENTER FOR GLOBAL

DEVELOPMENT

TAXATION











Collaborations with the vast ecosystem of inequality data actors

- International organizations : United Nations, World Bank, OECD
- National statistical offices: in Europe, Latin America, Africa...
- Partner institutions: Luxembourg Income Study (LIS), Commitment for Equity Institute (CEQ), Southern Center for Inequality Studies, Stone Center Harvard Kennedy School...
- → Common challenges: heterogeneity of data, lack of common standards
- → Common goals: develop public data systems fit for 21st century challenges













Expert Group Report on the Measurement of Inequality and Redistribution







MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE, DES FINANCES ET DE LA RELANCE Autorité Teplité Teurine

France: Expert Working Group

All D1 D2 D3 D4 D5 D6 D7 D8 D9 D10 P100 M1000 **IBT:** Income Before 1881 39 66 94 115 140 161 187 224 280 576 406 183 Transfers IBD: IBT + Deferred 1881 46 72 100 123 141 160 182 576 389 174 217 274 Incomes TCP: Tax on -300.1-17.3-19.5 -22.1 -24.2 -26.9 -28.7-30.9 -34.2 -40.9 -55.3 -35.2 -13.0Cons&Prod TIW: Tax on Inc. and -276.6 -2.3 -6.6 -19.9 -27.4 -60.7 -3.9 -9.6 -12.4 -15.5 -40.4 -138.5 -109.1 Wealth TSC: Social Security -471.2 -30.0 -38.1 -45.1 -53.8 -5.4 -22.6 -64.4 -77.6 -118.8 -74.6 -22.3 -15.4 Contributions BCA: Social Security Benefits in 25.2 40.6 45.3 46.8 50.4 62.9 79.9 41.4 8.5 486.4 35.4 45.6 54.4 Cash IDI: Disposable 1320 40 64 83 97 108 119 132 152 184 341 231 97 Income **BKI: Social Security** Benefits in 394.3 54.5 52.0 45.4 41.5 37.0 36.0 31.9 32.3 30.6 15.3 33.1 3.1 Kind **BCO:** Collective 182.9 17.2 17.2 23.0 20.9 18.6 18.1 16.4 16.9 17.4 17.2 8.6 1.7 Consumption MBT: Balance of -15.9 1.0 0.5 0.2 -0.1-0.4 -0.7 -1.1 -1.9 -3.0 -8.7 -4.3 -0.9 Transfers ATI: After Transfer Income 1881 118 137 148 157 161 170 180 200 230 380 251 100 NWE: Net wealth 10,783 232 308 120 398 520 662 837 1,074 1,526 5,106 All D1 D2 D3 D4 D5 D6 D7 D8 D9 D10 P100 M1000

Figure 1: Simplified table of distributed national accounts in 2016 (France, in billion euros)

Sources: prototype distributed national accounts for 2016, authors' calculations.

Germain et al. 2021



US: Convergence of official metrics and academic estimates, although still a veil of ignorance.

Figure 1

Top Wealth Shares in the United States: Comparing Estimates



Source: Federal Reserve, Saez and Zucman (2016), September 2020 update, and Forbes.

The World Inequality Database today

- Aggregate income and wealth series for 140+ countries
- Distributional income and wealth series for 140+ countries since 1980s-1990s
- Long-run income inequality series for large countries & world regions since 1820
- Used by academia, policymakers, media, policy agencies, some stat agencies over the world







Differences in national statistical environments

INEQUALITY TRANSPARENCY INDEX



Some questions the DINA framework helps answer

- How is the <u>totality</u> of macroeconomic income or wealth growth distributed across the entire population.
- What is the incidence of taxes and transfers?
- What are the drivers of inequality (cross-country & historical studies, event-studies, etc.)?

This presentation

Inequality data as a public good: the World Inequality Database project

What have we learned from recent research on global income & wealth dynamics?

Global income and wealth inequality today



Interpretation: The global 50% captures 8% of total income measured at Purchasing Power Parity (PPP). The global bottom 50% owns 2% of wealth (at Purchasing Power Parity). The global top 10% owns 76% of total Household wealth and captures 52% of total income in 2021. Note that top wealth holders are not necessarily top income holders. Incomes are measured after the operation of pension and unemployment systems and before taxes and transfers. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/methodology.

WORLD INEQUALITY REPORT 2022

A diversity of income inequality regimes Top 10% captures 35%-60% of national income, bottom 50% = 10-20%





Interpretation: In Latin America, the top 10% captures 55% of national income, compared to 36% in Europe. Income is measured after pension and unemployment contributions and benefits paid and received by individuals but before income taxes and other transfers. **Sources and series:** www.wir2022.wid.world/methodology.

Inequality differences after taxes are mainly due to inequality gaps before taxes: role of predistribution (public services, min. wages, regulations)



Interpretation: Before taxes, the bottom 50% in South Africa earns 63 times less than the top 10%, whereas after taxes, the bottom 50% earns 24 times less than the top 10%. Income is measured after pension and unemployment payments and benefits received by individuals but before other taxes they pay and transfers they receive. Data for 2018-2021. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/ methodology

Wealth inequality is extreme everywhere: no region with a bottom 50% owning more than 5% of wealth. Top 10% = 60-80%.



Interpretation: The Top 10% in Latin America captures 77% of total household wealth, versus 22% for the Middle 40% and 1% for the Bottom 50%. In Europe, the Top 10% owns 58% of total wealth, versus 38% for the Middle 40% and 4% for the Bottom 50%. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/methodology.

Since 1980, income inequality rose at different speeds: policy matters



Is the world moving towards a high inequality frontier?



Lucas Chancel – Proseminar on Inequality and Social Policy I – Session 1 – Harvard Kennedy School – Fall 2023

Methodological lessons

- Universal standard for inequality Global approach made us define inequality measures consistent across countries and times
- Pragmatic use of data available Great heterogeneity in data available and hence need for flexible methodology
- Impressive coordination of academics in recent years
 Both in terms of country coverage and method development

Methodological perspectives

- Micro-macro consistency remains a challenge
- Strong demand for granular inequality data... data transparency is declining in some countries
- Traditional data producers must adapt to data environment if they want to survive
- Key role for the UN, as it has already played for aggregate statistics in the past