Paper 3.2

EGWM – Physical Workshop Proposal

Objectives

1. The aim of the physical workshop is to achieve a consensus amongst working group members on the fundamental questions relating to the measurement of well-being, which will enable task-teams to commence work to prepare chapters of the Framework on Inclusive and Sustainable Well-being.

Logistics

2. We propose a two and a half day event, made up of presentations summarising the state of the knowledge and workshops to address key questions and reach consensus in the w/c 8th September.
3. The attached is a highly provisional – no named individuals have as yet been invited, but rather give an idea of a potential content.
4. We have been exploring hosting this event in the UK. However, we have also discussed co-hosting this event with the Friends of the Chair Group for Population and Demographics Statistics as they propose to hold a two day workshop in Canada on their 'social outcomes' workstream. This is an exciting opportunity to collaborate, particularly as many attendees are likely to wish to attend both sessions, but importantly travel costs need to be addressed.

Proposed model

5. **Day One: Principles**
   a. Introductions
      i. Initial presentation outlining the objectives and design of the workshop – chairs
      ii. Update on High Level Expert Group
   b. Session 1.1: The history of well-being
      i. Speaker – Andrew Oswald – the major debates of the Stiglitz, Sen, Fitoussi Commission
      ii. Speaker – Romina Boarini – the OECD's Better Life model
      iii. Speaker – Amit Yagur-Kroll – the UNECE Well-being Manual
   c. Session 1.2: Do we agree that the EGWM Model (the constellation of North Stars) proposed in the Position Paper is our design principle
      i. Speaker – Chair – the Position Paper, the 4x4 grid and the pyramid
      ii. Debate
   d. Session 1.3: Can we use subjective well-being as our 'north star' indicator?
      i. Speaker – Lord Layard / Jan-Emmanuel De Neve – the case for subjective well-being
      ii. Speaker – Jeffrey Sachs – happiness as a target

        iii. Speaker – NZ / other rep arguing for a non-human-centric measure

        iv. Debate

  e. Session 1.4: Do we want to use the SDGs as our initial map for domains?

        i. Speaker – tbc – the 17 SDGs

        ii. Edwin – UN Human Rights

        iii. Speaker – FOCG-SDS – the social outcomes

        iv. Debate

  f. Session 4: First evening drinks reception

6. **Day Two: Domains and presentation**
   a. Session 2.1: How do we select a North Star within each domain?
      i. Speaker – Housing Task-team Chair – the Housing example
      ii. Speaker – Work task-team Chair – the work example
      iii. Speaker – Muhammad Hasan – Digital Well-being
      iv. Debate
   b. Session 2.2: How do Composite Indices feed into the model?
      i. Speaker – Yanchun Zhang – the view of the composite task-team
      ii. Speaker – Sabina Alkire – The MPI
      iii. Debate
   c. Session 2.3 How should we take account of inequality and deprivation?
      i. Speaker – tbc
      ii. Debate
   d. Session 2.4: Agreeing a glossary of terms
      i. Speaker – Eleanor Rees – The ONS4
      ii. Speaker – Sanjiv Mahajan – Learning Lessons from the SNA
      iii. Debate

7. **Day Three: Designing the FISW**
   a. Session 3.1 – How do we design the chapters? Topical or thematic?

   b. Session 3.2 – What are the chapters we will require?
      i. Speaker – Richard Heys – Initial Proposal
      ii. Speaker – Amit Yagur-Kroll – Lessons from the UNECE Manual
      iii. Debate
   c. Session 3.2 – Allocation of roles
      i. Debate

8. **Key questions** are whether this model (after suitable refinement) would meet our needs and provide a strong base for moving forward. Are there items missing or topics we should cover in greater depth? The final key question relate to the High Level Expert Group: would we wish to invite them to join this event? If we did, what role do we want them to play and what would we hope to get out of the event for / with them?