The SDGs did not fall from the sky. They resulted from hard fought battles over different visions, ideas and interests about the purpose and theories of development. These battles continue in the choice of indicators, and in the interpretation of goals and targets. Governance by data and indicators can alter meanings of social objectives, shift power relations, reorganize national and local priorities, create perverse incentives and create new narratives. This raises questions about the power of measurement tools to shape knowledge, the democratic governance of SDG indicators and of knowledge for development overall.

This event – co-organized by The New School, UNDP, University of Oslo Centre for Development and Environment, and the Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung New York office, in coordination with the Permanent Mission of Germany and Statistics South Africa – will present the findings of an international research project on the knowledge and politics that led to the formulation of SDGs, particularly the selection of indicators. The project undertook empirical case studies of 8 goals, and 2 studies of data challenges.

The research findings raise questions about: “data for whom and for what?” in the way that SDG indicators are selected, and in how the process of selecting indicators can be safeguarded to remain neutral and technical.