## Comments/Suggestions for Statistical Commission 49th Session New York, 6-9 March 2018

## 4(h) Items for information: digital trade and e-commerce statistics

| Page/Par. | Contents  | Comments/Suggestions  |
|-----------|---|---|
| 4/12      | As already mentioned, the systematic data collection    | Should emphasis more awareness on data collection from the demand               |
|           | on what part of international trade can be considered   | side approach. Most users of digital services, cannot separate the value of     |
|           | 'digital', and on the breakdown of digital trade by the | digital services from physical goods that they buy.                             |
|           | products or services, partner countries and             |   |
|           | institutional sectors (business, consumers,             | To capture statistics of digital activities, online surveys with anonym         |
|           | government) involved, has yet to be developed.          | netizens as the respondents should be highlighted to better understand          |
|           | However, many countries have already started            | the dynamics of digital transactions as well as profiles of digital transaction |
|           | measuring at least some parts of the different          | actors.   |
|           | components that characterise digital trade, as evident  |   |
|           | from the OECD-IMF inventory in 2017 to which more       | Approach to big over-the-top companies is a must to capture big signals in      |
|           | than 70 countries responded (see OECD-IMF, 2017), as    | the digital world. Information in the form of website hits, traffic and crowd   |
|           | well as from the informal expert meeting on             | preference are valuable from the perspective of socio economic statistics.      |
|           | Measuring Digital Trade that TFITS convened for the     |   |
|           | first time in October 2017.                             |   |