Towards the 2025 SNA and BPM7 Issues note on action point A.10: Treatment of holding gains/losses and capital income in the measurement of insurance output

Peter van de Ven 2008 SNA Update Lead Editor

Meeting of the Advisory Expert Group (AEG) on National Accounts 29 – 31 March 2023

Introduction

Two issues at stake

- The treatment of holding gains and losses in the measurement of property income attributable to insurance policy holders (investment income payable on pension entitlements) and the measurement of output for the relevant insurance corporations and pension schemes
- The inclusion, or not, of capital income derived from investing the insurer's own funds in the measurement of insurance output

Both will be shortly discussed, starting with the second issue

Issue 2: Treatment of capital income derived from investing the insurer's own funds

- Basically, insurance output is calculated as follows: actual premiums earned plus premium supplements (= property income attributable to insurance policy holders) minus (adjusted) claims incurred
- Global Federation of Insurance Associations, however, suggested that the capital income derived from the investment of an insurer's own funds should also be included in the calculation of output of insurance corporations, based on the notion that all assets of an insurer serve the ultimate purpose of improving the risk-bearing capacity of an insurer — and thus the interests of policy holders
- However, insurers will set the levels of premiums, and possibly premium supplements from investing funds allocated to insurance policy holders, in such a way that it will cover the administrative expenses and provide an adequate return to the own funds invested
- Imputing an income from the insurance corporation's own funds to the measurement of output, and consequently to (gross) operating surplus, would thus lead to a kind of doublecounting
- It is recommended to close this research issue, and remove it from the SNA Research Agenda

Issue 1A: Treatment of holding gains and losses

- To restrict the discussion, only the treatment of non-life insurance is discussed
- For life insurance and pension schemes, the issues note basically confirms the conclusions of the AEG in its 9th meeting in 2014:
 - In the case of direct, one-to-one, transmission of income earned on the investment of actuarial reserves, reserves for with-profits insurance, and pension entitlements, the income attributable to policy holders should be calculated excluding holding gains and losses
 - In all other cases, all distributed income, which is typically defined as a certain percentage of the insurance reserves or pension entitlements, either or not supplemented by bonuses, should be treated as investment income attributable to insurance policy holders/payable on pension entitlements, disregarding the possible source/origin of this income
- In addition, it is recommended to provide more explicit guidance on the inclusion, or not, of holding gains and losses in the 2025 SNA, which would relate to all holding gains and losses, not only realized ones
- Finally, it is recommended to add simpler guidance on the calculation of output, for example in the case of multi-employer pension schemes

Issue 1B: Treatment of non-life insurance (1)

- Surprisingly, quite some discussion on the treatment of non-life insurance
- No discussion on the formula for calculating output:
 - actual premiums earned
 - + premium supplements
 - (adjusted) claims incurred
- ... where premium supplements are estimated from past experience, and given the underlying rationale – include both investment income and holding gains and losses
- However, no consensus about the guidance of the 2008 SNA, when it comes to the measurement of investment income attributable to insurance policy holders:
 - 1) **Premium supplements** included in the above formula
 - 2) Income actually allocated to technical reserves, in line with the company records
 - 3) Actual investment income derived from the investment of technical reserves
 - 4) Actual income, including holding gains and losses, from the investment of technical reserves

Issue 1B: Treatment of non-life insurance (2)

Non-life insurance corporation

Profit and loss account

| Premiums earned = premiums actually recieved Interest received | 150 3 |
|---|--|
| Holding gains and losses | 7 |
| Total income | 160 |
| | |
| Claims incurred = claims actually paid | 100 |
| Compensation of employees | 30 |
| Consumption of fixed capital | 5 |
| Total costs | s and losses 7 160 red = claims actually paid 100 on of employees 30 n of fixed capital 5 135 ding holding gains and losses 18 |
| Profits excluding holding gains and losses | 18 |
| Profits including holding gains and losses | 25 |
| | |

| Balance sheet | Beginning of year | End of year |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Fixed asset | 100 | 95 |
| Currency and deposits | 0 | 23 |
| Other financial assets | 200 | 207 |
| Total assets | 300 | 325 |
| Own funds | 100 | 125 |
| Insurance technical reserves | 200 | 200 |
| Total liabilities and net worth | 300 | 325 |

No additions to insurance technical reserves, due to differences between premiums earned/claims incurred and premiums received/claims paid. Also no additions to insurance technical reserves due to income attributed to policy holders

Assuming expected income is 15, output can be calculated 150 + 15 – 100 = 65.

Issue 1B: Treatment of non-life insurance (2)

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Output | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Compensation of employees | -30 | -30 | -30 | -30 |
| Operating surplus (gross) | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Consumption of fixed capital | -5 | -5 | -5 | -5 |
| Operating surplus (net) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Property income received | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Investment income attributable to | | | | |
| insurance policy holders | -15 | 0 | -3 | -10 |
| Primary income (net) | 18 | 33 | 30 | 23 |
| Net non-life insurance premiums | 100 | 85 | 88 | 95 |
| Non-life insurance claims | -100 | -100 | -100 | -100 |
| Disposable income (net) = Saving | | | | |
| (net) = Changes in net worth due | | | | |
| to saving and capital transfers | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Consumption of fixed capital | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Net lending/borrowing | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Transactions in financial assets | | | | |
| (currency) | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Transactions in liabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Changes in net worth due to | | | | |
| holding gains and losses | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Total changes in net worth | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |

- (1) Premium supplements included in output (15)
- (2) Income actually allocated to technical reserves, in line with the company records (0)
- (3) Actual investment income derived from the investment of technical reserves (3)
- (4) Actual income, including holding gains and losses, from the investment of technical reserves (10)

Net premiums = premiums earned (150) + investment income allocated to policy holders (??) – output (65)

Issue 1B: Treatment of non-life insurance (1)

- Option (4) disqualified: would include holding gains and losses in primary incomes; may also lead to negative investment income in period of negative stock markets
- **Option (1)** :
 - advantage of already arriving at an income concept in line with profits according to business accounting at the level of primary income
 - equality of net premiums and claims in the secondary distribution of income account, although this equality is considered less relevant, because in the case of catastrophic events net premiums and claims will differ anyhow
 - However, investment income attributable to policy holders driven by expectations
- Option (2): advantage of being in line with annual reporting by non-life insurance corporations
- **Option (3)**:
 - may better reflect the **underlying economic reality**, if one assumes that households indeed gain a benefit from reduced premiums by way of premium supplements.
 - some would add that the SNA is quite clear that there should be investment income payable to policyholders — issue at stake is only whether this should include holding gains

Summary of recommendations (1)

- Two viable options for non-life insurance, for consideration by the AEG:
 - use the property income actually allocated to the insurance technical reserves
 - use the property income earned on the investment of funds which are available to the insurer through the insurance technical reserves
- For reinsurance and standardised guarantee schemes, it is confirmed that the measurement should be done in line with the recommendations for non-life insurance
- For life insurance, it is recommended to treat all income which is allocated to the life insurance policy holders as property income, whether or not this income originates from investment income or from holding gains (or losses), with the possible exception for with-profits life insurance where all returns on investment, after a reduction for the services provided by the insurer, are allocated one-to-one to the policy holder, thereby basically transferring all risks and rewards to the policy holder

Summary of recommendations (2)

- For defined contribution pension schemes and defined benefit pension schemes, it is recommended to formally endorse the conclusions of the 9th meeting of the AEG: in the former case, exclude holding gains and losses from investment income payable on pension entitlements; in the latter case, use the discount rate for winding down the entitlements
- For multi-employer pension schemes, it is recommended to provide simpler guidance for the measurement of output, in line with the sum-of-costs, or the fees paid to the insurance corporation managing and/or administering the pension scheme
- On the issue whether or not holding gains and losses should only refer to realized ones, it is recommended to **include**, where relevant, all holding gains
- Add further clarifications to the 2025 SNA, in line with the above
- Finally, it is recommended to exclude capital income from the investment of the insurer's own funds in the measurement of non-life insurance output, and to remove this issue from the SNA Research Agenda

Thank you for your attention!



