WS.1 Enhancing and broadening the SNA framework to better capture elements of well-being and sustainability

Global consultation

Introduction

The Well-Being and Sustainability Task Team has drafted a range of guidance notes with recommendations for enhancing and broadening the SNA framework to better capture specific aspects of household economic well-being. This guidance note brings together these recommendations and explains how they will be reflected in the new SNA to provide users with coherent information on elements affecting current and future well-being.

As the recommendations in related guidance notes have already been endorsed, the main focus in this global consultation is on how they will be coherently presented to best support users in analyzing elements of current and future well-being, including possible trade-offs and win-wins. Furthermore, the consultation is seeking insights in possible prioritization of the recommendations, in view of their relevance and the feasibility to compile.

The guidance note is available <u>here</u>.

Instructions

For each question, please respond by either clicking the relevant check box or drop list, or typing in the framed textboxes, which will automatically expand to fit your response. Please note that while the survey may reach more than one contact in your agency, only one response is expected. A printable version of the questionnaire is available for your convenience. If you have any questions, please contact <u>Jorrit.ZWIJNENBURG@oecd.org</u> and <u>sna@un.org</u>.

We look forward to your valuable input and collaboration.

Sincerely, SNA Secretariat **Q1.** Please provide your information below:

Country	
Institution/Organization	
Name*	
Position/Title	_
Email*	

(End of Page 1)

Conceptual issues and recommendations

Q2A. Is the topic of well-being and sustainability relevant for your country?

- High relevance
- **O** Medium relevance
- $\mathbf O$ Low relevance
- **O** Not relevant

Q2B. Please provide arguments to support your response:

Q3A. Do you feel the recommendations of the specific elements in the underlying guidance notes are **properly reflected in this note**?

- O Yes
- ${\mathbf O}$ No
- O Don't know

This Question is Conditionally Shown if: (Q3A = No ORQ3A = Don't know)**Q3B.** Please specify where you feel that the recommendations have **NOT** been properly reflected:

Q4A. Do you agree with how the topics are linked to current and future well-being in the **figure in Section 4.1** of the guidance note?

- Yes
- O No
- O Unsure

This Question is Conditionally Shown if: (Q4A = No ORQ4A = Unsure)**Q4B.** Please explain where you disagree:

(End of Page 2)

Feasibility of proposals

Q5A. Please rate each proposal (for new and/or adjusted estimates) **in terms of their feasibility to compile** (0 is not at all feasible and 10 is highly feasible).

	0 - Not at all feasible	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 - Highly feasible	Don't Know
Tables on labour as a standard component	O	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	•	0	O	0
Supplementary tables on health care	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thematic tables on education and training	0	•	0	0	0	0	•	•	•	0	0	0
Accounts on unpaid household service work (at least every 5 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inclusion of renewable energy resources	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0
Removing distinction between cultivated and non-cultivated biological resources and only distinguishing between migrating and non-migrating resources	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Updating asset	Ο	0	Ο	0	0	Ο	0	0	0	Ο	0	0
classification												
to create a												
separate asset												
class for												
natural capital												
Applying split-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
asset												
approach in												
determining												
economic												
ownership of												
natural												
resources												
Recording	О	0	0	0	О	0	0	0	О	О	0	О
depletion of												
natural												
resources as												
an input cost												
of production												
Inclusion of 'of	0	О	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	О	0	0
which' items												
for												
environmental												
taxes and												
subsidies						-				0		
Inclusion of 'of	0	О	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
which' items												
to distinguish												
different												
categories of												
natural												
resource rent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplementary table on	9										9	
provisions												
Experimental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
extended table												
on human												
capital												
Supplementary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
table on												
distributions of												
household												
	1	I	1	<u> </u>	I	I	1	I	I	I	1	<u> </u>

income,						
consumption						
and wealth						

Q5B. Please provide any explanations to support your ratings:

(End of Page 3)

Relevance for users

Q6A. Please rate each of the following proposals (for new and/or adjusted information) **in terms of their relevance for users** (0 is not at all relevantand 10 is highly relevant).

	0 - Not at all relevant	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 - Highly relevant	Don't Know
Tables on labour as a standard component	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplementary tables on health care	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thematic tables on education and training	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accounts on unpaid household service work (at least every 5 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inclusion of renewable energy resources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removing distinction between cultivated and non-cultivated biological resources and only distinguishing between migrating and non-migrating resources	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	0	C	0	O

Updating asset classification to create a separate asset class for natural capital	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O
Applying split- asset approach in determining economic ownership of natural resources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Recording depletion of natural resources as an input cost of production	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Inclusion of 'of which' items for environmental taxes and subsidies	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inclusion of 'of which' items to distinguish different categories of natural resource rent	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplementary table on provisions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Experimental extended table on human capital	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0
Supplementary table on distributions of household	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

income,						
consumption						
and wealth						

Q6B. Please provide any explanations to support your ratings:

(End of Page 4)

Prioritization

Q7A. On the basis of your responses to questions 5A and 6A, how would you **prioritize the following proposals** for new and/or adjusted information? Please rank the proposals from 1 to 14, with 1 as highest priority and 14 as lowest.

	1 - Highest priority	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14 - Lowest priority
Tables on labour as a standard component	О	0	О	0	О	О	0	О	О	0	0	0	0	О
Supplementary tables on health care	o	0	o	0	О	0	0	o	o	0	0	0	0	O
Thematic tables on education and training	0	0	О	0	0	0	0	О	О	О	0	О	О	C
Accounts on unpaid household service work (at least every 5 years)	0	0	О	0	0	0	0	0	О	О	0	О	О	О
Inclusion of renewable energy resources	О	0	О	0	0	0	0	О	О	О	0	О	О	О
Removing distinction between cultivated and non-cultivated biological resources and only distinguishing between migrating and non-migrating	•	0	•	0	•	•	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	О

resources														
Updating asset classification to create a separate asset class for natural capital	О	o	o	•	•	•	0	•	o	O	O	0	0	С
Applying split- asset approach in determining economic ownership of natural resources	0	0	o	0	o	О	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	С
Recording depletion of natural resources as an input cost of production	О	o	o	•	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	С
Inclusion of 'of which' items for environmental taxes and subsidies	0	o	Э	О	0	0	0	0	О	О	О	0	0	С
Inclusion of 'of which' items to distinguish different categories of natural resource rent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	О
Supplementary table on provisions	О	o	0	0	o	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	О
Experimental extended table on human capital	О	•	o	o	o	o	0	o	o	О	О	0	0	О
Supplementary table on distributions of	О	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	О

household							
income,							
consumption							
and wealth							

Q7B. Please provide any explanations to support your ranking:

(End of Page 5)

Need for additional guidance (top 3)

Q8A. Where do you see the largest **need for additional guidance to facilitate compilation**? Please specify your top 3 with 1 as highest priority.

	Tables on labour as a standard component
	Supplementary tables on health care
	Thematic tables on education and training
	Accounts on unpaid household service work (at least every 5 years)
	Inclusion of renewable energy resources
	Removing distinction between cultivated and non-cultivated biological resources and only distinguishing between migrating and non-migrating resources
	Updating asset classification to create a separate asset class for natural capital
	Applying split-asset approach in determining economic ownership of natural resources
	Recording depletion of natural resources as an input cost of production
	Inclusion of 'of which' items for environmental taxes and subsidies
	Inclusion of `of which' items to distinguish different categories of natural resource rent
	Supplementary table on provisions
	Experimental extended table on human capital
	Supplementary table on distributions of household income, consumption and wealth
Q8B. Please pro	wide any explanations to support your raking:

(End of Page 6)

 Q9. Please provide any additional **suggestions for enhancing and broadening the SNA framework** to provide more insights on current or future well-being or to present the information to facilitate integrated analysis.

Q10. Please provide any **additional comments** on this guidance note.

Q11. In order to maximize **transparency**, we would like to publish responses to global consultations. Do you **give consent** that your response to this questionnaire can be published?

O Yes O No

(End of Page 7)