

Presentation to 11th London Group Meeting 26-30 March 2007, South Africa

Water Resource Accounts for South Africa

Jackie Crafford, CIC International Aneme Malan, National Accounts, Statistics SA

30 March 2007



- Acknowledgements
- The water economy in SA
- Water policy in SA
- Adapting the SEEAW principles to WRAs for South Africa
 - Water flow accounts
 - Water asset accounts
- Recommendations



- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry
- Sida (Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency)
- Prof Rashid Hassan, University of Pretoria:
 - CEEPA (Center for Environmental Economic Policy in Africa):
 - Natural Resource Accounting Project in Eastern and Southern Africa



- In an advanced stage of development:
 - High demand and competition for water
 - Diminishing low-cost sources of additional supply
 - Water quality problems
 - High social cost of water use
- Resource and supply characteristics
 - Low and erratic rainfall
 - Limited groundwater resources
 - Exhaustive development of available water storage and transfer options



- Transforming legal access and rights to water
- Ensuring provision of water for basic human needs and protection of aquatic ecosystems (Reserve)
- Decentralising water management through more enabling institutions
- Adopting integrated water resources management (IWRM)
- Pricing for financial and environmental sustainability, economic efficiency and social equity
- Refocus on water conservation and demand management
- The National Water Resource Strategy



- Water management decisions are based upon hydrological data of which DWAF is the custodian
- Statistics SA is the agency that captures official economic data upon which economic decisions are made
- There is a disconnect between the DWAF hydrological data and the Statistics SA economic data
- The SEEAW was applied to link the two data sources
- DWAF data, Statistics SA data and the SEEAW structure and method had to be adapted in to accommodate unique South African requirements for:
 - Water flow accounts
 - Water asset accounts
- Detailed WRA developed fro SA, published as a discussion document



- Water flow accounts enhancements: data
 - DWAF is the "custodian" of water yield (institutional supply of raw water)
 - DWAF excludes certain beneficial water uses from its data e.g. dryland use
 - DWAF classifies water use according to broad categories of institutional and policy importance, and not ISIC
 - DWAF planning scale is the Water Management Area (19 in SA) and not National Scale
 - Comprehensive official data currently only available for 2000 and 1995
 - StatsSA
 - Supply and use tables, and limited other water-relevant data
 - No WMA-scale economic data



- Water flow accounts enhancements: SEEAW structure
- SEEAW works well where there is water abundance, but in SA, with its water scarcity and natural supply variation, enhancements were required
- Retained the same convention of partitioning SUTs by their two key components of *within the environment* and *between the environment and economy*, but:
 - Water flows occurring exclusively within the environment were explicitly included, to support IWRM principles e.g.
 - water reserved for ecological purposes to satisfy instream flow requirements
 - Institutional supply analysed in greater detail
 - DWAF (yield regulator) as an institutional supplier
 - Facilitate possible water pricing analyses
 - New family of water users is plantation forestry (at least) added as streamflow reduction activity e.g.
 - SFRA, alien invasive species, rural households



- An Input-Output (I-O) matrix was developed (using SEEAW as guideline)
 - To describe supply and use in one table especially to the noneconomic audience with easier interpretation of water flows
 - To prevent double accounting
 - The framework was developed to be consistent with the definitions of the NWA and NWRS (not consistently defined with the ISIC)
- I-O WRAs were developed for each of the 19 WMAs for the accounting years 1995 and 2000.
 - provided spatial information
- The WMA I-O WRAs were aggregated to produce national WRA I-O matrixes for 1995 and 2000. The framework was then adjusted to improve consistency with ISIC
- The national I-O WRAs were converted to the adjusted UN SUT format described in the SEEAW
- Monetary accounts: integration, augmentation and comparative analysis with economic SUT and other data



		Environment									
	Supply table	Atmosphere and sea	Natural MAR	Surface water Yield	Groundwater	Soil water	Ecological reserve				
	Total water returned (D1 + D2)	105 528	49 040	9 545	0	0	9 545				
5	To water sources	105 528	49 040	9 545	0	0	9 545				
	Atmosphere and sea (evaporation & losses)		29 255				9 545				
	Evapotranspiration										
	MAR (including storage)	49 040									
	Groundwater	1 088									
	Surface water (including reserve)		19 785								
	Soil water	55 400									
	Ecological Reserve			9 545							
D2	To other sources	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Balance (to atmosphere or lower reserve)	0	0	0	0	0	0				

							Distribution							
Preferred supple	Supply Table			DWAF (Total Yield)	ROW and other WMA's	Irrigation Boards	Water Boards	Municipalities						
ſ				Total water returned	186	0	0	0	0					
				To other sources	186	Ô	ô	ô	ô					
			D2	Balance (to atmosphere or lower reserve)	186	-								
				Supply of water to other economic units	12 613	0	7 920	4 094	4 381					
				Of which:										
				Desalinated										
				Reused										
				Waste water to sewage										
				To distribution (bulk yield available)	12 300	0	0	3 042	1 223					
				DWAF (available total yield)		0			1 223					
	6			Irrigation Boards	7 920									
	ities			Water Boards	4 094									
	ctiv			Municipalities	116			3 041						
	с а			ROW and other WMA's	170									
	jmi	S2		To direct use by	313	0	7 920	1 052	3 158					
	onc			Agriculture - Irrigation			7 920							
	To economic activities							Agriculture - Dryland crops (excl forestry)						
	-					Agriculture - Livestock & Game	313							
				Agriculture - Plantation forestry										
				Mining				388						
				Hydroelectric Power				297						
				Other Bulk: Industrial				367						
				Other Commercial & Industrial					1 199					
				Domestic - Urban					1 698					
				Domestic - Rural					261					

			Production									
Stat i South		A	Agriculture					cial,	an	Rural		
Preferred supplier of qu		Dryland and Irrigation	Livestock and Game	Forestry	Mining	Electricity	Other Bulk: Industrial	Other Commercial, Industrial, Institutional, Municipal	Domestic - Urban	Domestic - Ru		
Ţ	Total abstraction	45 000	0	10 828	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Abstraction for distribution			(-							
	From water resources	45 000	0	10 828	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Atmosphere and sea (evaporation-											
	MAR (including storage)											
	Groundwater											
	Surface water (including reserve)			428								
	Soil water	45 000		10 400								
	Ecological Reserve											
	Transfers in (ROW)											
	From other sources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Direct rain harvesting											
	Abstraction from sea											
	Use of water supplied by other											
	industries	7 920	313	0	388	297	367	1 199	1 697	261		
	Supplied by distribution sectors	7 920	313	0	388	297	367	1 199	1 697	261		
	DWAF		313									
	Irrigation boards	7 920										
	Water boards				388	297	367					
1	Municipalities				-			1 199	1 697	261		
	Supplied by other sectors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Evapotranspiration											
	Losses - evaporation											
	Return flows											
	Effluent											
	Balance (surplus/deficit over current use)											
er u	er use (U1 + U2)			10 828	<mark>388</mark>	297	367	1 199	1 697	261		



- Water asset accounts enhancements: SEEAW structure
 - Volumetric water resource stocks in SA are not managed according to opening stock/closing stock principles but according to the yield requirements from the supply system over a hydrological period
 - 1:50 year assurance of supply
 - Therefore, given the nature of water assets intrinsic in their high spatial and temporal mobility and the typical difficulty with obtaining detailed information on components of the UN SEEAW, this study used a simplified version of asset accounting, following the Australian practice of *pathways analyses*
 - Water quality accounts a complex account to construct and was not attempted

	Annual changes to stock	2000
Prefe A.	Changes due to natural processes	
A1.	Precipitation	611,600 -
A2.	Evapotranspiration and deep seepage	506,072
A3.	Gross annual runoff ^a (A1 – A2)	105,528
A4.	Transpiration from dryland agriculture (including plantations)	55,400
A5.	Replenishment of groundwater	1,088
A6.	Natural MAR (A3 - A4 - A5)	49,040
	(% of total precipitation)	8%
A7.	Base flow ^D and other natural leakages from MAR	29,683
A.8	Surface water yield (A6 - A7)	19,357
	(% of Natural ? MAR)	40%
В.	The ecological reserve (in stream flow requirements-IFR)	9,545
С.	Changes due to human activity	
C.1.	Cultivated forest incremental use	428
C.2	Available surface water yield (A.8 – B – C.1)	9,384
	(% of Natural MAR)	20%
C.3	Available groundwater yield	1,088
C.4	Usable return flows	1,899
C.5	Transfers from ROW (water exports)	170
C.6	Total available yield (C.2 + C.3 + C.4 + C.5)	12,201
C.7	Total abstraction by production activities	12,015
D.	Net annual change in flow water volumes (BALANCE)	186



To DWAF

Statistics South Africa

d supplier of quality statistic

- Align water accounting periods and timeframes with StatsSA
- Align DWAF water sector definitions with the ISIC
- Explicitly include hydrological data on economically beneficial yield-impacting water uses such as dryland use.

To StatsSA

- Disaggregate economic data per water management area. This information should assist DWAF in addressing allocation questions.
- Expand water supply economic data to correspond to institutional supply
- The water quality account (2005) to be further developed, with emphasis placed on the impact of emissions on water yield. The monetary equivalent of this account should show the cost implications of loss and restoration of water yield due to emissions.
- Consider options to gather economic data on ecological services.
- Within the above, many data gaps exist which require attention.

• To the London Group

• Use this as an example of how the SEEAW can be adopted to a water scarce country with unique policy requirements