Nations Facsimile System - Sct Nov 20 04:17:29 1993

Distr: Limited ESAW/CRVS/93/8 ORIGINAL : ENGLISH

EAST AND SOUTH ASIAN WORKSHOP ON STRATEGIES FOR ACCELERATING THE IMPROVEMENT OF CIVIL REGISTRATION AND VITAL STATISTICS SYSTEMS BEIJING, 29 NOVEMBER - 3 DECEMBER, 1993

STATISTICAL DIVISION UNITED NATIONS STATE STATISTICAL BUREAU, CHINA

BANGLADESH : SAMPLE VITAL REGITRATION SYSTEM AND KEY FINDINGS

> by Mokhlesur Rahman and Shahadat Hossain Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics

> > November, 1993

Nations Facsimile System - Sct Nov 20 04:17:29 1993

Distr: Limited ESAW/CRVS/93/8 ORIGINAL : ENGLISH

EAST AND SOUTH ASIAN WORKSHOP ON STRATEGIES FOR ACCELERATING THE IMPROVEMENT OF CIVIL REGISTRATION AND VITAL STATISTICS SYSTEMS BEIJING, 29 NOVEMBER - 3 DECEMBER, 1993

STATISTICAL DIVISION UNITED NATIONS STATE STATISTICAL BUREAU, CHINA

BANGLADESH : SAMPLE VITAL REGITRATION SYSTEM AND KEY FINDINGS

by Mokhlesur Rahman and Shahadat Hossain Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics

November, 1993

SAMPLE VITAL REGISTRATION SYSTEM AND KEY FINDING

1. Introduction

Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics has been implementing Birth-Death Sample Registration System (BDSRS) since 1980. Earlier the title was Bangladesh Demographic Survey and Vital Registration system (BDSVRS) has been conducting survey throughout the country taking 210 PSU's of which 150 in rural areas and 60 in urban areas since 1880. Each PSU consists of around 250 households. A total of 57663 households consisting of 315823 population (0.30% of total population) with a sex- ratio of 106 were covered in 1990. On an average an enumeration area consisted of 275 households and 1504 population. Cantonments, institutions like hotels, barracks, messes, jails, etc., were excluded from the perview of the survey. Dejure definition of population was followed.

2. Survey design

Cluster sampling is generally used for dual record operations. Cluster sampling simplifies field work. In view of workload and payment of remuneration to local registrars, cluster sampling is more advantageous than simple random sampling. Considering these, stratified cluster random sampling of equal size has been adopted for Birth and Death Sample Registration System with households as the ultimate sampling unit. Details about survey design can be seen in Annexure-1.

3. Method of data collection

The project activities involves the collection of vital events (births, deaths, marriages, in and out-migration) through two Independent Systems namely recording of vital events by the local registrars (System-1) and collection of events by the headquarters staff using last three months as reference period (System-2). the

1

local registrars used to collect particulars of events as and when they occur on a continuous basis and send them to the headquarters in the first week of the next month. The headquarters staff collected particulars of the events occurring during the preceding three months in the same sample area independently on a quarterly basis.

4. Training of supervisors, enumerators and local registrars

For the collection of quality data, an intensive training for a period of 3 days was imparted to the supervisors and headquarters based enumerators by the senior trained and experienced officers at the headquarters at least once in a year. Besides, they had to undergo vigorous training about field problems and solution thereof, technique of collection of quality data through probing the items of information before each and every field visit. During training, items in each row and column of the schedules are clearly explained and the technique of how to fill the schedules properly were taught through mock survey. Supervisor in each visit by checking the works of local registrars evaluate their work and impart them training accordingly.

5. Supervision and quality control

Timely collection of good quality data and their quick processing was the joint responsibility of the field workers and a team of officers headed by senior officers, all of whom received intensive training on data collection technique. Both collection of data from the primary sampling units and processing of the same at headquarters were subjected to careful and intensive supervision. Special measures where adopted ensure to the operational independence of the two systems including rotation of system-2's interviewers among others and close supervision and quality control.

2

On completion of each quarterly round of survey by the headquarters based enumerators, events of births and deaths reported by them were matched with those reported by local registrars following the selected pre-determined criteria such as household number, mother's name, mother's relationship with head of household, baby's name, date of birth, sex of baby, age of mother, place of birth name of the deceased, age of the deceased, date of death and sex of the deceased etc. provided in tables. The events are ultimately classified into matched, partially matched, nonmatched and out of scope events. Partially matched and nonmatched, events were subjected to further verification, through field visits, for ascertaining the real status of the events. this important task was done by the trained and experienced senior officers of BDSRS through door to door visit in the sample area. this also helped catch the events missed by both the systems. The process of matching greatly reduces the possibility of erroneous inclusion of out of scope events or exclusion of bonafied events.

Household population and occurrences of events such as births, deaths, marriage, in and out migration collection through different schedules by both the systems, had to undergo systematic and rigorous cross-sectional consistency checks.

6. Matching procedures

| M | Matching Variables | | Tolerance Limit | | |
|----|---|----|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | For | Bi | rth | | |
| 1. | Household Number | : | a. | Exact agreement | |
| 2. | Mother's Name | : | a. | Exact spelling | |
| з. | Baby's name/Father's name | : | р. а. | As item no.(2) above | |
| 4. | Date of birth | : | a. b. c. d. e. | Exact agreement + 1 day + 7 days + 14 days + 31 days | |
| 5. | Age of mother | : | a. b. c. d. | Exact agreement + 1 year + 2 years + 5 years | |
| 6. | Mother's relationship with head of household | : | a. | Exact agreement | |
| 7. | Place of birth | : | a. | Exact agreement | |
| 8. | Sex of baby | : | a. | Exact agreement | |
| | For | De | ath | | |
| 1. | Household number | : | a. | Exact agreement | |
| 2. | Name of deceased | : | a. | As item (2) for birth | |
| з. | Date of death | : | a. | As item (4) for birth | |
| 4. | Age of deceased | : | a. b. c. d. f. g. | Exact agreement + 1 week + 2 weeks + 52 weeks + 1 year + 2 years + 5 years | |
| 5. | Sex of deceased | : | a. | Exact agreement | |
| 6. | Relationship to head of household | : | a. | Exact agreement | |

7. Updating of the sample population and household

Updating of individuals' characteristics is done annually in January each year through the administration of OMR form of questionnaire used in the population census, 1991 and updating of persons in the sample area is made giving due consideration of the effect of the occurrences of births, deaths, marriages, in and out migration of both individual and household collected continuously through both the systems.

Similarly, updating of the household and the sketch map of the sample areas is made annually in January each year. The continuous monitoring of the change of household numbers during the year due to the formation of new household, decay of household and/or cluster of households due to river erosion, household migration, etc. is made continuously throughout the year independently by the two systems. The specially developed household listing schedule is used for the purpose of household & population updating. The updated household and population are used as denominator for the purpose of estimation of different measures. the continuously updating of household and population, thus facilitate removal of possible sampling discrepancy likely to associate with the continuous use of the sample areas.

- ESTIMATION PROCEDURE & COMPILATION 8.
- 8.1 Estimation Procedure The following differnt estimates of the vital events are yielded from this system.
 - = Total events N1 caught (1) System-1 : N1=M+n1 independent by the system-1 (local registrars) where M are the events common to both the systems and nl are the number of events report by sysmen-1 : only;
 - = Total events N2 caught independently by the system-2 (quarterly interviewers), where n2 are the number of events reported by system- 2 only;
 - (3) Dual Record: N =M+n1+n2 = Joint coverage of the two
 - (4) Chandrasekaran Deming adjusted Dual Record Estimate: n xn

1

N = M + n1 + n2 + 12 м

(5) Total events: n xn N=M+n1+n2+n3+<u>1</u>2

(2) System-2 : N2=M+n2

- systems, i.e., dual record coverage;
- = Where (n1xn2)/M are the estimated events assumed to be missed by both the systems.
- = Where n3 are those events detected in the verification check;

8.2 Completion Rate

С

The estimates of completeness of events 1986 for by administrative division have been shown in Table-1. The completeness of the events for either of these systems in rural areas varies from around 90 percent to around 95 percent. The common proportion varies from around 82 percent to around 88 percent. At the aggregate level, the common proportion is about 86 percent. The dual record coverage (M+n1+n2=85.74+6.76=99.45) of events in rural areas comes to about 99.5 percent. The extent of coverage in urban areas is a little lower, where common proportion ranges from 70 percent to 94 percent among divisions. The urban total coverages for system-1 and system-2 are 87.5 and 87.3 percent respectively. The dual record coverage is about 98.3 percent. About 7 & 12 percent of the events are missed due to nonsampling errors by either of these systems respectively in rural and urban areas. These errors are adjusted by estimation procedures stated above.

| Division | Matched events M | Events only Svstem-1 | Recorded by System-2 | Total record System-1 | events led by System-2 | Events missed by both | Total events NC |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | N1 | N2 | Nl | N2 | <u>n1xn2</u> M | |
| Rural | | | Nu | mber: | - | | |
| Chittagong Dhaka Khulna Rajshahi Total | 1762 1823 1234 1751 6570 | 158 143 97 131 529 | 151 139 110 114 514 | 1920 1966 1331 1882 7099 | 1913 1962 1344 1865 7084 | 14 9 9 9 41 | 2085 2114 1450 2005 7654 |
| Urban | | | | | | | |
| Chittagong Dhaka Khulna Rajshahi | 437 765 280 247 | 52 120 37 27 | 54 133 31 24 | 489 885 317 274 | 491 898 311 261 | 7 21 4 2 | 550 1039 352 290 |
| | 1729 | 236 | 232 | 1965 | 1961 | | 2231 |
| Rural | | | Pe | ercent: | | | |
| Chittagong Dhaka Khulna Rajshahi | 84.5 86.2 85.1 87.3 | 7.6 6.8 6.7 6.5 | 7.2 6.6 7.6 5.7 | 92.1 93.0 91.8 93.8 | 91.7 92.8 92.7 93.0 | 0.7 0.4 0.6 0.5 | 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 |
| Total | 85.8 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 92.7 | 92.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 |
| Urban Chittagong Dhaka Khulna Rajshahi | 79.4 73.6 89.6 85.1 | 9.5 11.5 10.5 9.2 | 9.9 12.8 8.7 5.0 | 88.9 85.1 90.1 94.3 | 89.3 86.4 88.3 90.1 | 1.2 2.1 1.2 0.7 | 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 |
| Total | 87.4 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 88.0 | 87.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 |

Table -1 : Estimates of number births and percentage distribution thereof for principles of dual estimation by division, 1989.

*

.

Estimates of CBR and CDR for 1989 and 1990 derived by applying different approaches are presented in Table 2 and Table 3 respectively.

| | | 1989 | | | | 19 | 90 | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Division | System 1 | -System 2 | Dual Record System | Chandra Sekaran Deming | System 1 | System 2 | Dual Record System | Chandra Sekaran Deming |
| | | <u> </u> | | | Rural | | | |
| Chittagong Dhaka Khulna Rajshahi Total | 32.6 31.4 30.5 33.2 32.0 | 33.1 31.4 30.9 33.1 32.2 | 36.0 34.0 33.0 36.3 34.9 | 36.3 34.2 33.2 36.6 35.1 | 31.9 31.3 28.8 33.7 31.6 Urban | 31.7 31.3 29.1 33.4 31.5 | 34.4 33.7 31.1 35.8 33.8 | 34.6 33.7 31.3 36.0 34.0 |
| Chittagong Dhaka Khulna Rajshahi Total | 23.2 26.2 23.9 21.6 24.3 | 24.0 24.3 24.3 22.3 23.9 | 25.7 28.6 26.3 23.1 26.5 | 25.9 28.8 26.5 23.2 26.8 | 21.9 21.7 20.2 23.2 21.2 | 22.0 22.0 19.8 22.1 21.6 | 24.3 24.9 22.2 24.3 24.2 | 24.6 25.4 22.4 24.5 24.6 |

Table-2 : Estimates of crude birth rates per 1000 population by division for 1989 and 1990 by applying different approaches.

Table-3: Estimates of crude death rates per 1000 population by division for 1989 and 1990 by Applying different Approaches.

| D : 1 = 1 = 2 | 1989 | | | | 1990 | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Division | System 1 | -System 2 | Dual Record System | Chandra Sekaran Deming | System 1 | System 2 | Dual Record System | Chandra Sekaran Deming |
| | | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Rural | ···· | | -4 |
| Chittagong Dhaka Khulna Rajshahi Total | 11.7 11.3 9.8 11.3 11.1 | 12.0 11.7 9.7 10.9 11.2 | 13.1 12.2 10.3 11.8 12.0 | 13.2 12.3 10.3 11.9 12.0 | 11.3 10.9 9.4 11.6 10.9 | 10.8 10.5 10.0 11.6 10.8 | 12.1 11.8 10.3 12.3 11.7 | 12.3 11.9 10.3 12.3 11.8 |
| | | | | | Urba | n | | |
| Chittagong Dhaka Khulna Rajshahi Total | 7.9 6.0 5.5 8.0 6.7 | 8.0 5.3 5.4 7.9 6.3 | 8.5 6.5 8.7 7.2 | 8.8 6.6 5.8 7.3 | 8.6 5.9 6.7 6.5 6.8 | 8.6 6.2 6.7 6.8 6.9 | 9.0 7.0 7.3 7.2 7.6 | 9.1 7.1 7.3 7.2 7.6 |

SVRS.KEY/

SELECTED INDICATORS FROM BIRTH AND DEATH SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM

.

•

| A. | POPULATION AND AG 1. Population 199 (in mill | E-STRUCTUR 2 (January ion) | E) | <u>National</u> | <u>Rural</u> | | <u>Urban</u> |
|----|--|--|---|--|----------------------|--|--|
| | | Both Sex ' Male Female | | 111.7 57.4 54.3 | 95.1 48.3 46.8 | | 16.6 9.1 7.5 |
| | 2. Sex Ratio | | | 105.8 | 103.3 | | 123.0 |
| | 3. Age Structure (i | Number n million) | 8 | Number (in million) | 8 | Number (in mil | : % llion) |
| | Both Sex: 0-14 15-49 50+ | 47.8 50.7 10.9 | 43.7 46.3 10.0 | 41.9 42.3 9.5 | 44.7 45.2 10.1 | 6.0 8.4 1.4 | 37.7 53.2 9.1 |
| | Total | 109.5 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 100.0 |
| | Male : 0-14 15-49 50+ | 24.3 26.0 4.9 | 43.2 44.6 10.2 | 21.2 21.2 4.3 | 44.5 44.6 9.3 | 3.1 4.8 0.6 | 35.5 55.0 8.7 |
| | Total | 56.3 | 100.0 | 47.6 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 100.0 |
| | Female: 0-14 15-49 50+ | 23.6 24.7 4.9 | 44.3 45.5 10.2 | 20.7 21.1 4.3 | 44.9 45.8 9.3 | 2.9 3.6 0.6 | 40.4 50.9 8.7 |
| | Total | 53.2 | 100.0 | 46.1 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
| | 4. Dependency Rati | .o, 1991 1992 | | 86 82 | ç | 0 | 68 62 |
| | 5. Density (SQ.Km. |), 1991 1992 | | 745 | | - | - |
| в. | NUPTIALITY MEASURE | s | | National | | <u>Rural</u> | <u>Urban</u> |
| | 6. Crude Marriage | Rate 19 19 19 19 19 19 | 987 988 989 990 991 992 | 11.6 11.3 11.2 11.1 11.0 10.7 | | 12.2 12.1 11.6 11.6 11.2 11.0 | 6.9 6.6 8.3 7.9 7.4 7.2 |
| | 7. Mean age at Mar | riage 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | 986 987 988 989 990 991 991 | 17.5 17.9 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.1 18.1 | | 17.3 17.5 17.8 17.8 17.9 17.9 18.1 | 18.3 18.8 18.9 18.8 19.0 19.2 |

| | | <u>National</u> | Rural | <u>Urban</u> |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| 8. Female Marital Status | | | | |
| in percent, Never | | | | |
| married, All ages : | 1961 | 10.5 | - | - |
| | 1974 | 24.4 | 20.4 | 29.9 |
| | 1981 | 23.7 | 22.7 | 29.6 |
| | 1987 | 26.2 | 25.0 | 34.8 |
| | 1988 | 27.5 | 26.1 | 33.5 |
| | 1990 | 28.0 | 20.7 | 33.1 |
| | 1991 | 29.0 | 27.8 | 33.9 |
| 15-19 : | 1961 | 8.3 | | _ |
| | 1974 | 24.5 | 22.2 | 44.6 |
| | 1981 | 31.3 | 28.7 | 451 |
| | 1987 | 47.0 | 43.5 | 67.4 |
| | 1988 | 48.1 | 44.6 | 66.6 |
| | 1990 | 48.9 | 45.0 | 67.0 |
| | 1991 | 50.0 | 45.3 | 67.3 |
| | 1992 | 50.4 | 43.3 | 07.7 |
| 29-24 | 1961 | 1.3 | | 10 1 |
| | 1974 | 3.Z 5 1 | 2.5 | 10.1 |
| | 1987 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 20.9 |
| | 1988 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 21.5 |
| | 1990 | 9.8 | 7.9 | 21.8 |
| | 1991 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 21.9 |
| | 1992 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 22.2 |
| | | | | |
| Currently married, | | | | |
| all age : | 1961 | 70.7 | - | _ |
| | 1974 | 61.2 | 65.0 | 58.3 |
| | 1981 | 63.4 | 64.0 | 59.0 |
| | 1988 | 62.3 | 66.9 | 63 4 |
| | 1990 | 62.4 | 67.0 | 63.6 |
| | 1991 | 62.6 | 67.0 | 63.2 |
| | 1992 | 62.3 | 66.6 | 63.4 |
| 15-19 : | 1961 | 89.4 | _ | - |
| | 1974 | 71.8 | 73.9 | 53.2 |
| | 1981 | 65.4 | 67.8 | 52.7 |
| | 1987 | 50.4 | 53.7 | 31.3 |
| | 1988 | 49.4 | 52.5 | 31.6 |
| | 1990 | 48.0 | 52.0 | 61.0 |
| | 1991 | 4/.0 | 51.0 51 A | 60.0 |
| | 1 <i>772</i> | 71.2 | 27.4 | 00.2 |
| 20-24 : | 1961 | 95.6 | - | - |
| | 1974 | 92.9 | 93.7 | 86.3 |
| | 1981 | 90.9 | 91.8 | 85.7 |
| | 1987 | 87.4 | 89.0 | 76.8 |
| | 1000 | 86.5 | 88.3 | 76.4 |
| | 1991 | 00.U 85 6 | 01.J 86.8 | 13.8 75.9 |
| | 1992 | 85.1 | 86.2 | 74.6 |

C. FERTILITY MEASURES

.

| 9. | Crude Birth Rate | | National | Rural | <u>Urban</u> |
|-------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Population | 1983 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 35.0 33.2 33.0 32.8 31.6 30.8 | 36.4 34.5 34.5 34.3 34.1 32.2 | 27.1 24.9 24.4 24.6 24.6 23.7 |
| 10. | Number of births ('000') | 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 3477 3531 3559 3561 3575 | 3101 3133 3141 3160 3176 | 367 398 408 401 399 |
| 11. | Birth per minute | 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.8 6.9 | 5.9 6.0 6.0 6.1 6.1 | 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.8 |
| 12. | TFR per woman | 1983 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 5.07 4.45 4.35 4.33 4.24 4.18 | 5.36 4.70 4.59 4.57 4.51 4.33 | 3.10 3.08 2.88 2.95 2.92 2.88 |
| 13. | Net Reproduction Rate (NRR) | 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 1.74 1.73 1.71 1.70 1.68 | 1.80 1.79 1.76 1.74 1.72 | 1.22 1.20 1.20 1.19 1.18 |
| D. MO | RTALITY MEASURES | | | | |
| 14. | Crude death Rate (CI per 1000 Population | DR) 1983 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 12.3 11.3 11.3 11.4 11.2 11.0 | 13.2 11.9 11.9 11.8 11.4 11.3 | 7.5 7.5 7.3 7.9 7.8 7.5 |
| 15. | Number of Deaths (000) | 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 1179 1206 1230 1239 1242 | 1065 1091 1103 1109 1110 | 114 115 127 130 132 |

| | | National | <u>Rural</u> | <u>Urban</u> |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 16. Death per minute | 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 2.2 2.3 2.3 2.2 2.2 | 2.0 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 | 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 |
| 17. Infant Mortality Ra | ate (IMR) per | 1000, live births | | |
| | 1983 | | | |
| | Both Sex Male Female | 117 119 116 | 121 121 121 | 99 107 91 |
| | 1988 | | | |
| | Both Sex Male Female 1990 | 110 116 105 | 112 118 107 | 91 96 86 |
| | Both sex Male Female | 9 4 98 91 | 97 101 93 | 71 73 68 |
| | 1991 | | | |
| | Both Sex Male Female | 92 95 90 | 94 98 95 | 69 72 65 |
| | 1992 | | | |
| | Both sex Male Female | 88 90 86 | 91 95 90 | 65 68 62 |
| 18. Neo-Natal Mortality | y,1988 | | | |
| | Both sex Male Female 1990 | 73 79 67 | 75 81 68 | 58 64 58 |
| | Both Sex Male Female | 67 71 62 | 69 73 64 | 48 51 48 |
| | 1991 | | | |
| | Both sex Male Female | 64 68 61 | 66 70 60 | 44 48 43 |
| | 1992 Both Sex Male Female | 62 65 58 | 64 68 62 | 43 46 40 |

| 19. Post Neo-Natal Mortality | 1988 | <u>National</u> | Rural | <u>Urban</u> |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Both sex Male Female | 37 36 38 | 38 37 39 | 33 32 33 |
| - | 1990 | | | |
| | Both Sex Male Female | 28 27 29 | 28 28 29 | 23 22 24 |
| | 1991 | | | |
| | Both sex Male Female | 27 26 28 | 28 28 28 | 23 23 24 |
| | 1992 | | | |
| | Both Sex Male Female | 26 25 28 | 27 27 28 | 22 22 22 |
| 20. Child Death Rate | 1000 | 10 5 | 14 1 | 07 |
| (1-4 Years) | 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 | 13.5 13.7 14.2 13.6 13.2 | 14.1 14.3 15.0 14.4 14.0 | 8.7 8.6 8.3 8.2 8.0 |
| 21. Probability of d by age 5 per 100 | lying 00 | | | |
| | 1988 | | | |
| | Both sex Male Female 1990 | 169 172 165 | 173 176 171 | 127 135 118 |
| | Both Sex Male Female | 151 154 149 | 158 160 155 | 100 103 96 |
| | 1991 | | | |
| | Both sex Male Female | 146 148 144 | 154 156 153 | 96 98 94 |
| | 1992 | | | |
| | Both Sex Male Female | 144 146 142 | 152 154 150 | 93 95 92 |

| 22 Maternal Mortaity | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|----------|-------|--------------|
| Rate (MMR) per 100 | 0 1988 | 5.72 | 5.98 | 5.31 |
| | 1989 | 5.08 | 5.78 | 4.60 |
| | 1990 | 4.78 | 5.02 | 4.25 |
| | 1991 | 4.70 | 4 84 | 4 02 |
| | 1000 | 4.72 | 4.04 | 3 00 |
| | 1992 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.90 |
| 22 Tito Euroctanou | at | National | Dural | IIrban |
| 23. Life Expectancy | 1000 | Nacional | Kulai | <u>Orban</u> |
| Birch (lears) | . 1980 | | | |
| | Both Sex | 56.4 | 56.1 | 60.0 |
| | Male | 56.4 | 56.5 | 60.5 |
| | Female | 56.0 | 55.6 | 59.5 |
| | 1990 | | | |
| | Both sex | 55.4 | 55.5 | 60.1 |
| | Male | 56.4 | 56.0 | 60.3 |
| | Female | 55.4 | 54.0 | 59.0 |
| | 1991 | | | |
| | Both Sex | 55.8 | 55.5 | 60.1 |
| | Male | 56.4 | 56.0 | 60.4 |
| | Female | 55.6 | 55.2 | 60.0 |
| | 1992 | | | |
| | Both Sex | 56.0 | 55.6 | 62.2 |
| | Male | 56.7 | 56.3 | 60.3 |
| | Female | 55.6 | 54.4 | 60.1 |
| E. NATURAL GROWTH OF E | POPULATION | | | |
| 24. Natural Growth F | Rate | | | |
| (NGR) Percent, | 1983 | 2.27 | 2.32 | 1.96 |
| | 1988 | 2.19 | 2.28 | 1.75 |
| | 1989 | 2.16 | 2.24 | 1.71 |
| | 1990 | 2.15 | 2.23 | 1.67 |
| | 1991 | 2.06 | 2.18 | 1.60 |
| | 1992 | 1.98 | 2.08 | 1.58 |
| 25. Natural Growth p | ber | | | |
| Minute (No.) | 1988 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 0.5 |
| | 1989 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 0.5 |
| | 1990 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 0.6 |
| | 1991 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 0.7 |
| | 1992 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 0.7 |
| F. HEADS OF HOUSEHOLDS | 3 | | | |
| 26. Male Headed Hous | ehold | | | |
| (percent) | 1982 | 84.7 | 83.5 | 93.1 |
| 27. Female Headed Ho | ousehold | | | |
| (Percent) | 1982 | 15.3 | 16.5 | 6.9 |

G. Religious Composition

28. Religious Composition (percent)

1988 Muslim 86.5 86.4 87.0 13.6 13.0 Non-Muslim 13.5 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total 1991 86.6 86.5 87.0 Muslim 13.5 13.0 Non-Muslim 30.4 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total 1992 86.5 87.0 86.6 Muslim 13.5 13.0 Non-Muslim 13.4 100.0 Total 100.0 100.0 H. EDUCATION AND LITERACY National Rural <u>Urban</u> 29. Literacy Rate of Population aged 5 + 1987 27.8 24.1 51.2 Both Sex Male 34.6 31.0 58.3 20.3 43.6 Female 16.7 1990 Both Sex 36.0 30.2 52.0 Male 38.2 34.3 54.4 Female 23.0 46.0 22.3 1991 Both Sex 36.2 30.4 52.4 Male 38.4 34.8 56.5 Female 23.0 22.5 46.2 1992 Both sex 37.0 31.2 52.8 Male 38.9 35.0 56.7 Female 23.5 22.5 46.4

30. Adult Literacy Rate of Population aged 15 + 1987

Both Sex 33.8 29.5 61.5 Male 44.0 39.6 71.6 Female 22.9 18.7 50.5 1990 30.7 39.3 20.3 36.9 Both Sex 62.5 Male 45.5 70.0 Female 24.2 50.5 1991 38.8 Both Sex 33.2 63.2 Male 46.2 39.9 70.6 Female 24.5 22.7 51.0 1992 Both Sex 39.7 33.7 63.6 Male 46.8 40.2 71.0 Female 25.0 23.1 51.9

I. MIGRATION

,

.

31. Migration for Economic Reason, 1990

| Direction | Migration per 1000 population | Number of Migrants |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Rural to Rural Rural to Urban | 8.50 4.46 | 57965 74900 |
| Urban to Rural Urban to Urban | 1.36 29.83 | 4949 78999 |
| 1991 | | |
| Rural to Rural | 8.60 | 58342 |
| Rural to Urban | 5.62 | 76823 |
| Urban to Rural | 1.42 | 5245 |
| Urban to Urban | 28.02 | 77835 |