

Survey of Disability

Overview of Surveys and their design considerations

By
Rajendra Singh

**Presented at the Expert Group Meeting on
the Guidelines and Principles for the Development
of Disability Statistics, 12-14 July 2017
UN Headquarters, New York**

Survey of Disability

- Goals of the survey
- Population of interest (target population) and sampling frame
- Type of disability surveys
- Approaches of sampling
- Estimation of sample size
- Documentation
- Summary
- Issues for discussion

Survey of Disability

- Goals – important to design a survey
 - Prevalence rate – could be defined as:
 - At least one of the disabilities or by type of disability such as hearing, seeing walking, bathing, etc.
 - By demographic or socio-economic characteristics such as sex, age, employment status or income level, etc.
 - By level of geography – states, urban/non-urban, etc.
 - By any combination of above
 - Concepts of disability characteristics should be practical and could be implemented correctly
 - Precision – two options:
 - Coefficient of variation (CV)
 - Margin of error

Survey of Disability

- Population of interest (target population) and sampling Frame:
 - Objectives identify target population, for example,
 - Any disability in the population – entire population
 - Disability rate for a province – population of province
 - Disability rate for school children – population of school children
 - Type of disability of persons living in long-term care centers – population living in long term care centers
- Sampling Frame – data source(s) from which a sample is selected
- Sampling Frame should
 - Represent population of interest
 - Be complete
 - Be recent or current
 - Be accurate
 - If above conditions not satisfied, take steps to meet above conditions

Survey of Disability

- Approaches for sampling
 - Three main approaches for sampling:
 - a) Standalone disability sample of HHs
 - A sample of enumeration areas (EAs)
 - Stratify EAs to form strata
 - Select EAs within stratum proportional to their population size
 - Select a sample of households (HHs) within selected EAs
 - Identify HHs with at least one person with disability
 - Partitions sampled HHs into two strata
 - One with HHs with at least one identified disabled person
 - Second with HHs with no identified disabled person
 - Select sample of HHs from both strata
 - Select a large sample of HHs from strata with disabled person
 - Select a small sample of HHs from strata with no disabled person

Survey of Disability

- Standalone sample of HHs (Continued)
- Advantages:
 - More complete in terms of target population
 - Would collect detailed data on disability as its primary goal
 - Would collect demographic and/or economic characteristics of disabled persons as needed
 - Provide more insight about the disabled persons' conditions
 - Greater flexibility
- Disadvantages:
 - It is expensive
- Limitation:
 - Budget

Survey of Disability

- Main sampling approaches (continued ...)
 - B) Incorporate a disability topical module in a survey
 - Before using the survey to attach a topical module one must:
 - Understand the sample design of the survey to be used for topical module (target population, oversample, etc.)
 - Understand the limitation for using the survey (sample size, number of disability questions for topical module, etc.)
 - Understand the effect on main survey
 - Understand the implication on disability data (precision, limitations on amount of data)

Survey of Disability

Incorporate a topical module (continued ...)

Advantages:

- Allows comparison of disabled persons with general population
- It is economical

Disadvantages:

- Respondent burden may adversely affect primary survey response rate
- May provides fewer details on disability questions since it's not a primary disability survey
- Sample size constraint due to main survey sample size
- Less flexibility

Limitations:

- Sample size
- Amount of data on disability

Survey of Disability

- Main sampling approaches (continued ...)
 - C) Standalone sample using administrative list(s)

Two types of lists – a list of persons and a list of institutions

 - 1) List of Persons
 - Use organizations (stakeholders) with knowledge of lists with disabled persons to obtain all lists to form complete target population
 - Combine multiple lists together into one list
 - Stratify the disabled persons on list(s)
 - By geographical location (province, urban, rural, etc.)
 - By type of disability even if rates are not needed by type of disability
 - Select random sample from each stratum

Survey of Disability

- Standalone sample using list (continued ...)
 - 2) List of institutions
 - Use organizations with knowledge of lists of institutions
 - Create a combined list of persons for each type of institution
 - Stratify institutions
 - By their geographic location
 - By type of institutions (long term care center, home for assisted living or elderly, etc.)
 - Select
 - Simple random sample OR
 - Select a sample proportional to the number of disabled persons residing in each institution, and then select a random sample within the sampled institutions

Survey of Disability

- Standalone sample using list (continued...)
 - The following applies for both types of list(s)
 - Unduplicate persons that are in two or more lists or institutions to get correct selection probability to result in unbiased results
 - Bias results for disabled population if list incomplete or inaccurate
 - Correct for bias due to incomplete or inaccurate list
 - For an incomplete list
 - » supplement list sample with general population sample
 - » Select a larger sample from list frame and a smaller sample from general population
 - For inaccurate list, determine the source of inaccuracy and take steps to correct the list
 - Unduplicate persons that are in two or more lists – a difficult process unless persons have unique IDs

Survey of Disability

- Standalone sample using list(s) (continued ...)
 - Advantages:
 - Good for target population such as persons with known disability, homes for elderly, long term care centers, home for assisted living, etc.
 - Easy to select simple random or systematic random sample
 - Possible to use stratified PPS sample to reduce cost
 - Disadvantages:
 - Requires preparatory work
 - » Check for list completeness
 - » Check list for accuracy
 - » Check list for being current
 - » Check if persons on the list can be located
 - » Creating frames by combing multiple lists (different formats, different order of field locations, etc.)
 - » Supplement list sample with general population HH sample if list is incomplete
 - Limitations:
 - Complexities may limit sharing and combining lists
 - Not always possible to get a complete and accurate list

Survey of Disability

- Sample size considerations – objectives and budget
 - Objectives
 - Disability prevalence rate
 - Precision of prevalence rate -- two types
 - Coefficient of variation (CV)
 - Margin of error
 - Budget
- Parameters needed to estimate sample size are
 - Rate of disability prevalence – if unknown, use the best guess based on the available information
 - Precision for prevalence rate
 - Estimate of design effect – if unknown, use the best guess based on available information

Survey of Disability

- Formula to calculate the sample size based on CV requirement;

$$n = \frac{q}{(CV)^2 p} Deff$$

Where

n	=	Sample size in terms of persons
p	=	Disability prevalence rate
q	=	1-p
CV	=	Coefficient of variation
Deff	=	Design effect

Finite population correction (FPC) factor is assumed to be 1 when n is very small compared to total population size. The sample size formula that include FPC will multiply sample size 'n' by FPC factor [(N-n)/N] where N population size.

Survey of Disability

- Design effect (Deff) is defined as
 - A factor by which the sampling variance for a survey is increased over that which would come about if a simple random sample was used with the same sample size.
 - Mathematically, it is defined as:
 - $Deff = 1 + \rho (m - 1)$, where
 - ρ is the intraclass correlation and represents the clustering effect for the characteristic in question
 - m is the (average) size of the cluster
 - Deff is always ≥ 1.0 ;
 - $Deff = 1$ for only simple random sample

Survey of Disability

- Design effect role in sample size computation
 - Most of the countries use personal interviews to collect survey data
 - To save cost, generally simple random sample is not used instead a multi-stage stratified cluster sample is used
 - Cluster sample increases variance over simple random sample
 - Clustering effect high if characteristics under study is highly clustered
 - Disability is not expected to be highly clustered in general population survey -- multi-stage stratified cluster sample preferred
 - Disability is expected to be highly clustered in institutional population such as long term care centers, elderly housing, etc. – simple random sample preferred

Survey of Disability

- Estimation of sample size
 - Initially estimate the sample size for each target population that meets objectives
 - Budget may not support such a sample size, therefore,
 - Determine the largest sample that can be supported by the budget
 - Consider trade-offs to use sample size supported by budget
 - Consider changing objectives (prevalence rate or precision or both) to remain in budget
 - Consider getting additional budget to support larger sample
 - Most likely it would be an iterative process to reach at final sample size
 - Discuss with sponsor(s) of the survey about the implications of insufficient budget

Survey of Disability

- Survey documentation – an important aspect
 - Document for
 - Future references, and
 - To inform data users
 - Document should include
 - Sampling methodology
 - Estimation methodology
 - Quality of survey data including its strengths and weaknesses
 - Limitations of the data

Survey of Disability

- Summary
 - Main considerations in designing a reliable and affordable survey are:
 - Objectives
 - Precision
 - Budget
 - Additional information (distribution by type, sub-national, etc.) would require larger sample
 - Increase efficiency of design by
 - Designing a stratified cluster sample
 - Using list/administrative frames when possible and disabled persons on list can be easily located
 - Screening for disability on national survey or on census of population and housing

Survey of Disability

- Summary (continued ...)
 - Ensure that the frame is complete, accurate and current, if not current, take steps to bring up to date
 - Use enumeration area (EA) as first stage of sampling from general population frame
 - Reduce bias when using list frames by
 - Supplementing list frame with general population frame
 - Unduplicate persons in different frames to get correct selection probability
 - Use multi-stage stratified sample when appropriate

Survey of Disability

- Summary (continued ...)
 - Use Proportion to population size (PPS) sampling scheme to select first-stage sample units
 - Reduce design effect by reducing cluster size
 - Consider adjusting objectives if insufficient budget
 - Document sampling and estimation procedures
 - Document data quality including its strength and weaknesses
 - Document limitations of data

Survey of Disability

- Issues for discussion
 - What frame should be used? Census, list or list combined with general population frames.
 - Should disability survey use a standalone design?
 - Should topical module be used in a survey to collect disability data?
 - In case of using topical module, how to increase sample for disability module if primary survey does not have sufficient sample? (ex. collect data over several different time periods – months, quarters or years subject to primary survey design)

Survey of Disability

Thank you
Rajendra Singh