

Modernisation of Statistical Classifications

Andrew Hancock Principal Analyst, Statistics NZ Chair, UN Committee of Experts on International Statistical Classifications





Background

- International statistics have overlaps in concepts, definitions, classifications and metadata
- Limited integration of the many standards, manuals and frameworks hampers responsiveness to emerging user demands
- It is difficult to easily cross-reference content, and/or search and discover content
- There is rapidly occurring real-world change which is not easily incorporated





Purpose

- Advance the use of innovative technologies and approaches for cross-referencing and navigating between the various international statistical standards, manuals and classifications
- Implement new methodologies for managing and describing data, and the categories to which they are classified through greater uptake of semantic web technology
- Allow digital integration with well-established library and other vocabularies, taxonomies and ontologies, to improve cross-disciplinary search capabilities of digitized documents
- Reduce cost, resource and time for undertaking revisions of international classifications and standards



Why have international classifications

- There is a need for standard concepts, definitions and classifications to ensure a consistent approach to classifying statistical data to support global policy initiatives such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), climate change or the digital economy etc
- They provide a simplification of the real world and a framework for collecting, organising and analysing data, both statistical and administrative, and are the cornerstone of official statistics
- They provide a framework for international comparability and a basis for national development
- They can be used for:
 - Collecting and organising statistical information in a standard way
 - ✤ Aggregating and disaggregating datasets in meaningful way for complex analysis
 - Supporting policy and decision making
 - Assisting developing countries and their Official Statistics programs



Issues with using International Classifications

- Understanding the need for an international classification and a lack of encouragement or support by international agencies and NSOs to adopt them in a timely manner
- Obtaining international consensus and input into their development and maintenance, and the length of time taken to develop, revise, maintain and implement them
- Lack of a central agreed global repository for them, and the different formats they are stored in (e.g. html, .pdf, hardcopy, MS Excel) thus limiting integration, sharing, search and discovery, and dissemination
- Traditional approaches of using sequential codes, parent-child category relationships, single category labels, constrained by A4 page or computer screen width, and output table publishing needs
- Inability to identify what is the 'official' international standard to be used when there may be a proliferation of like standards e.g. SDMX, DDI, ISO 11179, Dublin Core
- Cyclical review processes based for human consumption, not machine consumption



Traditional Approaches

- Hide conceptual relationships, create structural silos, and do not easily address cross-cutting issues
- There is no scope for multiple contexts, or flexibility in approach, no ability to use multiple concepts or entities, and no ability to create aggregated or derived linked views
- Revisions, whether incremental adjustment or larger, are costly and time-consuming for national statistical offices
- Difficulties in timing change for IT systems and platforms to facilitate implementation
- Everything is stand-alone and needs to be mapped using correspondences/concordances
- Limited use of current ontological/taxonomical thinking as still driven by statistical processes and needs



Practice Change Considerations

- Still heavily in a Eurocentric/Western model mutual exclusivity (a response can only be classified to one category), statistical balance (categories are of similar/equal counts, population is evenly spread) etc
- Entrenched IT systems with hard-coded content, reluctance to change and the fear of innovation
- Impact of digitalisation and introducing digital metadata in machine-readable format e.g. an SDMX api v standalone classifications not currently being adopted
- Maintaining time-series and consistency is important but users are struggling to understand that the data means in the contemporary context
- Much of the revised content is already out of date on publication
- Educating users to the idea of change and the benefits that come with it but introducing innovative change in a transitional way



Future Thinking/Direction

- Data is now collected from sources that did not exist 10-20 years ago
- There is greater volume and variety in the data and the standards are not keeping pace (the social media world impact)
- It is time to explore the wider use of:
 - ✤ relational databases
 - computer created matrix software
 - ✤ advances in ontological engineering
 - semantic web technologies
 - more efficient and automated authorisation and dissemination processes
- Concept based classification management systems are the way forward, and can encourage reuse of existing content and reduce duplication or inconsistencies



Concept Based Classification Management

- The vision is about user-driven, dynamic content doing stuff in real time
- Adds value to data by increasing content and metadata that can be created expands the data narrative
- Enables greater integration of administrative and statistical concepts
- Introduces semantic consistency across standards
- Encourages greater reuse of existing content by storing once and sharing across multiple locations
- Removes cyclical, labour intensive, time and resource revisions of standards
- Enable more usage of apis and conceptual/metadata modelling



New methodologies

- Requires better usage of service-oriented architecture (SOA)
- Enables uptake of the Simple Knowledge Organisation System (SKOS) and XKOS
- ✤ Allows integration with metadata standards e.g. SDMX or ISO 11179
- Provides greater usage of taxonomies, thesauri, ontological engineering and concept management to mix structured and semi-structured data
- Encourages multiple output views, different labelling options, and multi-lingual content linked to an approved concept
- .xml based and more automation in processes
- Educating and changing the international thinking a slow process which is starting to take hold



Metadata Modelling

- Begins with a clearly defined concept which may have relationships to any number of other concepts or sub-concepts
- Each concept is unique and forms a scope for all entities or words that may be categorised by that concept
- Uses intensional and/or extensional approaches to organising knowledge: -
 - Intensional a concept is listed with properties or categories that the concept must have to be part of set captured by the definition (e.g. concept of country which is defined as independent, a geographic entity, or administrative region)
 - Extensional a concept is defined by listing or specifying everything that falls within scope of the definition (e.g. concept of country which lists all known countries of the world)
- Enables everyone to talk about the same concept, category and content in the same way
- ✤ Makes search and discovery, retrieval and interoperability easier



Simple Knowledge Organisation System (SKOS)

- Concepts can have multiple relationships like a neural network model
- Uses unique resource indicators (URIs) linked with lexical strings, assigning notations and links to other concepts
- Can organise content into informal hierarchies and networks using defined concept schemes
- URIs remove constraint of single descriptors or mutually exclusive labels
- Uses synonyms or aliases for categories
- Provides more granular metadata, easier integration and sharing of concepts and content





Resource Description Framework (RDF)

- Uses unique web identifiers for describing resources or entities
- Uses the RDF triple which comprises a subject (web resource), predicate (property) and an object (value)
- Allows classification content to be disassembled into component parts for easier integration and sharing
- Enables reconfiguration or repackaging into traditional content or user defined views
- Utilises graph networks or query language (such as SPARQL) to retrieve and manipulate data
- Enables faster and more dynamic updating





RDF Example - Aquaculture







Concept Based Classification Model

- Concepts are the building blocks for everything
- Each concept has
 - a label and agreed definition
 - relationships to other concepts allowing for conceptual frameworks to be created and making for an easier way to merge and transfer data
 - categories within the concept that are linked to other concepts like in an electronic thesauri or neural network
- There is no scope for multiple contexts, or flexibility in approach, no ability to use multiple concepts or entities, and no ability to create aggregated or derived linked views
- Categories are stored in a category set (similar to SDMX codelists)
- Content can be dynamically updated or added by approved users



Concept View - Industry





Benefits

- ✤ All content is time-stamped and each entity has an unique uri
- ✤ APIs are in place to enable integration of systems and to link content
- Uses a customisable lifecycle and approval process
- Content can be disseminated in multiple formats e.g. Word, Excel, SDMX, DDI, .pdf
- Standards, classifications and correspondences are all linked together
- ✤ AI/Machine learning will be added to reduce human interaction in the future





Conclusion

- Traditional methods of management no longer work
- Semantic web/metadata modelling is the best way forward
- ✤ Governance models can be changed and modernised
- Dynamic and real-time change can be implemented
- Over-arching cost reduction introduced
- Greater consistency in content achieved
- ✤ More automation and use of apis/AI/machine learning used

