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• Founded Statoo Consulting in 2001.

\[ \sim 2019 - 2001 = 18 + \epsilon. \]

• Statoo Consulting is a software-vendor independent Swiss consulting firm specialised in statistical consulting and training, data analysis, data mining (data science) and big data analytics services.

• Statoo Consulting offers consulting and training in statistical thinking, statistics, data mining and big data analytics in English, French and German.

\[ \sim \text{Are you drowning in uncertainty and starving for knowledge?} \]

\[ \sim \sim \text{Have you ever been Statooed?} \]
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‘Just as haute cuisine must incessantly reinvent itself in order to stay at the forefront of gastronomy, official statistics is also confronted with a rapidly changing context and needs. They are currently facing an impressive number of challenges: the ‘data revolution’ and the emergence of ‘big data’, . . . .’

Walter J. Radermacher, 2018

1. Demystifying the ‘big data’ hype

- The term ‘big data’ — coined in 1997 by two researchers at the NASA — has acquired the trappings of a ‘religion’.

- But, what exactly are ‘big data’?

  - The term ‘big data’ applies to an accumulation of data that can not be processed or handled using traditional data management processes or tools.

  ~ Big data are a data management IT infrastructure which should ensure that the underlying hardware, software and architecture have the ability to enable ‘learning from data’ or ‘making sense out of data’, i.e. ‘analytics’ (~ ‘data-driven decision making’ and ‘data-informed policy making’).
The ‘Veracity’ (i.e. ‘trust in data’), including the reliability (‘quality over time’), capability and validity of the data, and the related quality of the data are key!

Existing ‘small’ data quality frameworks need to be extended, i.e. augmented!
‘Data is part of Switzerland’s infrastructure, such as road, railways and power networks, and is of great value. The government and the economy are obliged to generate added value from these data.’

digitalswitzerland, November 22, 2016

Source: digitalswitzerland’s ‘Digital Manifesto for Switzerland’ (digitalswitzerland.com).

⇝ The 5th V of big data: ‘Value’, i.e. the ‘usefulness of data’.
Intermediate summary: the ‘five Vs’ of (big) data

- ‘Volume’, ‘Variety’ and ‘Velocity’ are the ‘essential’ characteristics of (big) data;
- ‘Veracity’ and ‘Value’ are the ‘qualification for use’ characteristics of (big) data.
‘Data themselves are a central raw material of the knowledge society. However, this means that the data must be of high quality, accessible and trustworthy.’

Swiss Federal Council, September 5, 2018

The Internet of Things

MY COFFEE MACHINE HAS UNFOLLOWED ME
2. Demystifying the ‘Internet of things’ hype

- The term ‘Internet of Things’ (IoT) — coined in 1999 by the technologist Kevin Ashton — starts acquiring the trappings of a ‘new religion’!


However, IoT is about data, not things!
What Makes a Smart City?
Multiple Applications Create Big Data

- **Connected Plane**
  - 40 TB per day (0.1% transmitted)

- **Connected Factory**
  - 1 PB per day (0.2% transmitted)

- **Public Safety**
  - 50 PB per day (<0.1% transmitted)

- **Weather Sensors**
  - 10 MB per day (5% transmitted)

- **Intelligent Building**
  - 275 GB per day (1% transmitted)

- **Smart Hospital**
  - 5 TB per day (0.1% transmitted)

- **Smart Car**
  - 70 GB per day (0.1% transmitted)

- **Smart Grid**
  - 5 GB per day (1% transmitted)

A city of one million will generate 200 million gigabytes of data per day by 2020.

Source: Cisco Global Cloud Index, 2015-2020
The ‘five Vs’ of IoT (data)

- ‘Volume’,
- ‘Variety’,
- ‘Velocity’
are the ‘essential’ characteristics of IoT (data);

- ‘Veracity’ and ‘Value’
are the ‘qualification for use’ characteristics of IoT (data).
‘Data are not taken for museum purposes; they are taken as a basis for doing something. If nothing is to be done with the data, then there is no use in collecting any. The ultimate purpose of taking data is to provide a basis for action or a recommendation for action.’

W. Edwards Deming, 1942

保驾 Data are the fuel and analytics, i.e. ‘learning from data’ or ‘making sense out of data’, is the engine of the ‘digital transformation’ and the related ‘data revolution’!
3. Demystifying the two approaches of analytics

Statistics, data science and their connection

◊ **Statistics** traditionally is concerned with analysing **primary** *(e.g. experimental or ‘made’ or ‘designed’)* **data** that have been collected (and designed) for statistical purposes to **explain and check the validity of specific existing ‘ideas’** *(‘hypotheses’)*, *i.e.* through the operationalisation of theoretical concepts.

⇝ **Primary analytics** or **top-down** *(i.e. explanatory and confirmatory)* **analytics**.

⇝ ‘**Idea (hypothesis) evaluation or testing**’.

⇝ **Analytics’ paradigm**: ‘**deductive reasoning**’ as ‘idea (theory) first’.
Data science — a rebranding of ‘data mining’ and as a term coined in 1997 by a statistician — on the other hand, typically is concerned with analysing secondary (e.g. observational or ‘found’ or ‘organic’ or ‘convenience’) data that have been collected (and designed) for other reasons (and often not ‘under control’ or without supervision of the investigator) to create new ideas (hypotheses or theories).

Secondary analytics or bottom-up (i.e. exploratory and predictive) analytics.

‘Idea (hypothesis) generation’.

Analytics’ paradigm: ‘inductive reasoning’ as ‘data first’.
‘AI [(‘Artificial Intelligence’)]] algorithms are not natively ‘intelligent’. They learn inductively by analyzing data.’

Sam Ransbotham, David Kiron, Philipp Gerbert and Martin Reeves, 2017

• The two approaches of analytics, i.e. deductive and inductive reasoning, are complementary and should proceed iteratively and side by side in order to enable data-driven decision making, data-informed policy making and proper continuous improvement.

⇝ The inductive–deductive reasoning cycle:

‘Neither exploratory nor confirmatory is sufficient alone. To try to replace either by the other is madness. We need them both.’

John W. Tukey, 1980
Questions analytics tries to answer & the ‘analytics continuum’

Source: João Tapadinhas, VP Business Analytics and Data Science, Gartner, June 2014 (goo.gl/YmjFPB).
I ALWAYS TAKE DATA-DRIVEN DECISIONS

≥ → YES
≤ → NO

ENNICO
(From Scott Adams)
4. Demystifying ‘analytics of things’

- The ‘Analytics of Things’ (AoT) corresponds to the ‘analytics layer’ that occurs with the IoT devices and their generated data.

→ It becomes key to execute analytics and related ‘data quality processes’ on the data-gathering devices themselves, i.e. at the edge (or at the ‘endpoint’), or as close to the originating data source as possible.

→ ‘Analytics at the edge’ or ‘edge analytics’ (based on a distributed ‘IT architecture layer’ called ‘edge computing’).

→ For example, in practice, the most efficient way to control data quality is to do it at the point where the data are created, as cleaning up data downstream (and hence centralised) is expensive and not scalable.
It is about moving the analytics and the ‘data quality frameworks’ to the data and not the data to the (centralised) analytics and (centralised) ‘data quality frameworks’.

To do so, a centralised management of analytics will be needed; consisting, for example, of transparent central analytics model and rule development and maintenance, a common repository for all analytics models, i.e. ‘algorithms’, and a related analytics model version management.

Additional concerns are security (e.g. will be improved by reducing complexity), privacy (e.g. sensitive data will be retained at the edge), analytics governance (e.g. no strong governance needed as the algorithms are decentralised and publicly available), reliability and scalability of the edge devices, and (public) trust.

Current key challenges: lack of (glocalised) standards of both IoT data and analytics, and of AoT approaches, e.g. ‘edge analytics’.

Standardisation efforts needed (by official statistics by augmenting existing ones?)!
Big data methodology and estimation

21. Another conclusion reached in the panel discussions was that big data needs official statistics as much as official statistics need big data. This is not only because the production of official statistics is anchored in internationally agreed quality frameworks and methodologies and based on principles of professional independence and trust; it is the official statistics using traditional source data that allow methods and techniques for generating statistics from big data sources to be calibrated, “trained” and, ultimately, validated. Other findings were that statistical methodology can turn big data into small data, for example, through sampling, and that the transfer of data is not always necessary, as the method or algorithm can be applied at the location of the data source.
In a world of (big) data, IoT (data) and also post-truth politics, the veracity of data, i.e. the trustworthiness of data (including the related data quality), is more important than ever!
• Analytics is an aid to thinking and not a replacement for it!

• Data and analytics should be envisaged to complement and augment (official) statistics, not replacements for it!

~⇒ Nowadays, with the digital transformation and the related data revolution, humans need to augment their strengths to become more ‘powerful’: by automating any routinisable work and by focusing on their core competences.
ROBOCOP

ROBOSTAT
‘If you can not describe what you are doing as a process, you do not know what you are doing.’

W. Edwards Deming

Analytics is a whole iterative problem solving and continuous improvement cycle/process that must be mastered through interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary team effort!
5. Process models for continuous improvement

- The ‘Plan–Do–Check–Act’ (PDCA) cycle is often referred to as the Deming cycle, Deming wheel or the Shewhart cycle.

Walter A. Shewhart proposed this approach in the field of ‘quality control’ in the 1920s, and W. Edwards Deming later popularised PDCA as a general management approach based on the scientific method.
‘All improvement takes place project by project and in no other way.’

Joseph M. Juran, 1989
A process model for the production of official statistics

- The ‘Generic Statistical Business Process Model’ (GSBPM) — coordinated through the ‘United Nations Economic Commission for Europe’ (Version 5.0 as of December 2013) — is consistent with the PDCA cycle:
GSBPM is a key conceptual framework for the modernisation (and standardisation of the production) of official statistics.

⇝ But, where is the continuous (quality) improvement cycle?
‘The author believes the reason *[operational] cost [of different parts of the statistical business process]* has not been a central focus is a difference between NSIs focus on *measuring* quality of their products and services, rather than continuous *improvement* of quality.’

David A. Marker, 2017


⇒ Emphasis needs to move from measuring quality to improving quality!
Moreover, the GSBPM is a deductive reasoning and a sequential approach.

For example, the first GSBPM steps are entirely focused on deductive reasoning for primary data collection and are not suited for inductive reasoning applied to (already existing) secondary data.

Moreover, the evaluation (‘Evaluate’ step) is only performed at the end.

This process model needs to be adapted to incorporate analytics by taking into account both approaches of analytics (i.e. inductive and deductive reasoning) and through the usage of, for example, data-informed continuous evaluation at any GSBPM step.

Current production processes of official statistics need to be augmented and empowered by analytics (of things)!
A process model for analytics

- The **CRISP-DM** ('**CRoss Industry Standard Process for Data Mining**') process — initially conceived in 1996 — is also consistent with the PDCA cycle:
The complementary cycles of developing & deploying ‘analytical assets’

Source: Erick Brethenoux, Director, IBM Analytics Strategy & Initiatives, August 18, 2016 (goo.gl/AhsG1n).
'The key to success is to make sure that the beginning and ending steps of the analysis are well thought out.'

Thomas H. Davenport and Jinho Kim, 2013
New computational models must be adopted between private and public actors to guarantee mutual trust in the process.

Guarantee that data are processed for the agreed purpose, by the agreed method, respect of user privacy & business confidentiality, compliancy with legal provisions.

Source: Eurostat (May 2018)
Are Current Frameworks in the Official Statistical Production Appropriate for the Usage of Big Data and Trusted Smart Statistics?

Dr. Bertrand Loison  
Vice-Director, Swiss Federal Statistical Office  
Prof. Dr. Diego Kuonen  
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The paper will discuss the limitations of GSBPM with respect to the usage of big data (using inductive reasoning as analytics’ paradigm), and also with respect to “trusted smart statistics”. The authors will give insights on how to augment and empower current statistical production processes by “data innovation” and also by “trusted smart statistics”. In addition, it will also address which cultural changes (e.g. skills, organisational structure, agility) should be addressed by the senior management of NSI’s to embrace this major paradigm shift.
Deep data and shared computation: Shaping the future Trusted Smart Statistics

Fabio Ricciato
Albrecht Wirthmann
Michail Skaliotis
Fernando Rei
Konstantinos Giannakouris
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NTTS 2019, Brussels 12.3.2019
As soon as it works, no one calls it ‘production process of official statistics empowered by analytics and augmented by analytics of things’ any more!
‘The transformation can only be accomplished by man, not by hardware (computers, gadgets, automation, new machinery). A company can not buy its way into quality.’

W. Edwards Deming, 1982
‘The only person who likes change is a wet baby.’

Mark Twain
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