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**Environmental indicator sets:**

- Core Set of Indicators - CSI (EEA)
- Sustainable Development Indicators (EC)
- Various **thematic** sets: SEBI, TERM, AEI, …)______________________________________ –
- EUROPE 2020 Indicators (EC)
- GDP and **beyond** (EC), upcoming
Indicator streamlining, progress report

Progress since November 2010 meeting

– Workshop with European indicator producers
(22-23 February 2011):

• Presentation of practical implementation for 3 test themes
  (biodiversity, municipal waste, greenhouse gas emissions)
  = methodology approved
Indicator streamlining - implementation

Streamlining proposal for biodiversity related EEA and Eurostat indicators

- Table 1: List of 17 biodiversity indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster Indicator Title</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28 Species of European interest</td>
<td>CSI 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Species diversity</td>
<td>CSI 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Abundance and distribution of selected species</td>
<td>SEBI 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Red List index for European species</td>
<td>SEBI 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Species of European interest</td>
<td>SEBI 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Livestock geno diversity</td>
<td>SEBI 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Invasive alien species in Europe</td>
<td>SEBI 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Common bird index</td>
<td>tsdnr100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Farmland bird index</td>
<td>tsbn170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Designated areas</td>
<td>CSI 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Nominally designated protected areas</td>
<td>SEB1 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Sites designated under the EU Habitats and Birds Directives</td>
<td>SEB1 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Sufficiency of sites designated under the EU habitats directive</td>
<td>tsdnr210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Sufficiency of sites designated under the EU habitats directive</td>
<td>tsbn160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Ecosystem coverage</td>
<td>SEB4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Habitats of European interest</td>
<td>SEBI 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Fragmentation of natural and semi-natural areas</td>
<td>SEBI 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cluster 29 "protected areas"

High potentials for streamlining:

- CSI 008 Designated areas
- SEBI 008 Sites designated under the EU Habitats and Birds Directives
- Eurostat tsdnr210 Sufficiency of sites designated under the EU Habitats Directive
- Eurostat tsbn160 Sufficiency of sites designated under the EU Habitats Directive

→ All 4 indicators are expressed in a sufficiency index which provides a measure of progress in the implementation of the Habitats Directives.

→ SEBI 008 focuses also on the Birds Directive whereas CSI 008 focuses on all areas designated by international and national instruments.

→ Suggestion to streamline based on SEBI 008 with title: Sufficiency of sites designated under the EU Habitats and Birds Directives.
## Indicator streamlining - implementation

### MW generation: Proposals for streamlining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators (current names)</th>
<th>Proposed changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal waste generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SDH tsdpc210)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal waste generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SI tsien120)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal waste generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CSI 016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Current work on indicators at EEA (2/2)

- **First results:**
  1. Streamlining of the EEA indicators: 747 -> 278 indicators, 26 -> 14 themes
  2. Identification and definition of the requirements for upgrading the IMS:
     - Indicator page: [http://intranet/Projects/IndicatorManagement/default.aspx](http://intranet/Projects/IndicatorManagement/default.aspx)
  3. Creation of the Indicator Management Group:
     - Group of IMS Expert Users (22 `content managers` from 9 thematic groups)
  4. Acceleration of work on indicators
     - 70 indicators updated in 2010
     - 24 indicators already updated in January 2011

- **Indicators are back to being a topic of discussion among the actors involved.**
Indicator streamlining, progress report

Progress since November 2010 meeting

- Workshop with European indicator producers (Feb. 2011):
  - Presentation of practical implementation for 3 test themes (biodiversity, municipal waste, greenhouse gas emissions) = methodology approved
  - Although similar indicators are maintained by key players, parallel reporting structures exist (e.g. to EU, OECD,...) = coordination of work and intensified, regular dialogue among indicator producers is proposed
  - More transparent presentation and exchange of meta-data and quality information is needed = website and use of a common meta-data management tool
Indicator streamlining - implementation

3. Statistical presentation

3.1. Data description

The structural indicator on municipal waste consists of a set of three indicators: municipal waste generated, municipal waste landfilled and municipal waste incinerated. The amounts are expressed in kilograms per person.

The amount of municipal waste generated consists of waste collected by or on behalf of municipal authorities and disposed of through the waste management system.

Municipal waste is mainly produced by households, though similar wastes from sources such as commerce, offices and public institutions are included. For areas not covered by a municipal waste collection scheme the amount of waste generated is estimated.

Wastes from agriculture and from industries are not included.

3.2. Classification system

Classification is done according to the definitions for the OECD/Eurostat Joint Questionnaire section Waste.

3.3. Sector coverage

Municipal waste is mainly produced by households, though similar wastes from sources such as commerce, offices and public institutions are included.

3.4. Statistical concepts and definitions

The base information for the structural indicator is the amount of municipal waste generated, landfilled and incinerated per year. The amount of municipal waste generated consists of waste collected by or on behalf of municipal authorities. For areas not covered by a municipal waste collection scheme the amount of waste generated is estimated.
Indicator streamlining, progress report

Progress since November 2010 meeting

- Workshop with European indicator producers (Feb. 2011):
  - Presentation of practical implementation for 3 test themes (biodiversity, municipal waste, greenhouse gas emissions) = methodology approved
  - Although similar indicators are maintained by key players, parallel reporting structures exist (e.g. to EU, OECD,...) = coordination of work and intensified, regular dialogue among indicator producers is proposed
  - More transparent presentation and exchange of meta-data and quality information needed to avoid inconsistencies = website and use of common meta-data management tool
  - Indicator selection criteria should be (better) described = towards application of systematic selection criteria
**Indicator selection, criteria**

The **M-D-I-A-K** reporting chain helps to specify and distinguish between the different types of information needed:

- **K** What do we need to **K**now?
- **A** What **A**ssessments are needed?
- **I** What **I**ndicators are needed?
- **D** What **D**ata is needed?
- **M** What **M**onitoring is needed to deliver the required data?
Indicator selection, criteria

The D-P-S-I-R framework

is a causal chain framework that helps to structure our thinking about indicators in terms of causality and effect:

D   Driving force (indirect, e.g. social and economic)
P   Pressures (e.g. emissions)
S   State (changes of the environment, media, pollutants)
I   Impact (on human health, ecosystems and their services)
R   Responses (by society)
Indicator development, selection criteria

Selection criteria are important:

- indicators influence conclusions on whether environmental problems are serious or not,

- whether improvement or degradation is taking place, and in which direction causes and solutions need to be sought.

However, in practice ...
Indicator development, selection criteria

- frameworks (often) not used as formal part in selection process, they are used mainly for presenting the indicators

- Expert panels select with focus on individual indicators, not on criteria that relate indicators to one another

- policy relevance is a main criteria

- sometimes different bodies select different indicators from the same statistics to describe the same phenomenon

= risk of subjective, non systematic selection of indicators
Selection criteria, example CSI (EEA):

- address EU policy priorities
- six environmental themes (air pollution and ozone depletion, climate change, waste, water, biodiversity and terrestrial environment)
- four sectors (agriculture, energy, transport and fisheries)
- positioned in the DPSIR framework
- indicator types (descriptive, performance, eco-efficiency, policy effectiveness, total welfare indicators)
CSI: 4 policy related selection criteria:

Policy relevance
This criterion is checked against identified objectives in EU and other international policy documents and reviewed in consultation with countries. EU priority issues should also frame the core set as a whole, be the basis for balance across the core set and support its regular review.

Progress towards policy targets
This criterion becomes relevant where quantitative or qualitative targets linked to objectives have been set in policy documents.

Understandability of indicators
This criterion focuses on clear definition of the indicator and appropriate assessment and presentation. Contradictory messages should not occur (crosschecking across the core set ensures this); if any do occur, they should be explained.

Part of EU policy issues
This criterion is applied to ensure that indicators map to priorities for policy and in the EEA management plan. The priority issues should also frame the core set as a whole, be the basis for balance across the core set and support its regular review.
CSI: 4 data related selection criteria:

Available and routinely collected data

This criterion is based on the extent to which data requirements are supported by reporting obligations signed up to by countries. Both legal and non-legal obligations are taken into account. This criterion also supports streamlining of data flows and ensures that the indicator can be updated regularly.

Spatial- and temporal coverage

These criteria are based on the actual coverage of reported data compared with the target coverage. The EEA aims to cover all of its member countries, unless the focus of the indicator is different (for example, where indicators are based on the implementation of directives by the EU-27). The aim is also to have time trends available as far back as possible.

National scale and representativeness of data

This criterion enables benchmarking of countries’ performances. The EEA therefore works with countries to obtain common understanding on the data sources used for calculating indicators and on methodologies used for benchmarking.
CSI: Scientific / statistical selection criteria

Methodologically well founded

This criterion can be met through a clear description of the methodology and formulae used, with appropriate scientific references. This criterion is more likely to be satisfied if a similar indicator is also being used in other indicator initiatives at the international level.
Selection criteria, SDI:

- 10 themes (socio-economic development, sustainable consumption and production, social inclusion, demographic changes, public health, climate change and energy, sustainable transport, natural resources, global partnership, good governance)

- Shall monitor progress in the 10 themes, reflecting the seven key challenges of the strategy, as well as the key objective of economic prosperity, and guiding principles related to good governance.

- Themes are further divided into sub-themes to organise the set in a way that reflects the operational objectives and actions of the sustainable development strategy.
### Selection criteria, example SDI:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDI theme</th>
<th>Headline indicator</th>
<th>EU-27 evaluation of change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic development</td>
<td>Growth of GDP per capita</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change and energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable consumption and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social inclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Level 1

- **Climate change**
  - Greenhouse gas emissions*

#### Level 2

- Energy dependency
  - Consumption of renewables
  - Greenhouse gas emissions by sector

#### Level 3

- Climate change
  - Greenhouse gas intensity of energy consumption
  - Global surface average temperature

- Energy
  - Gross inland energy consumption
  - Electricity generation from renewables
  - Consumption of biofuels in transport
  - Combined heat and power**
  - Implicit tax rate on energy
Selection criteria, EUROPE 2020

A strategy for jobs and smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, is based on five EU headline targets which are currently measured by 8 headline indicators. (of which 3 are environmental indicators)

- Statistics is integral part of the Europe 2020 strategy. The headline indicators measure the progress made by the EU and the Member States towards achieving the 5 headline targets of the strategy. The additional indicators show in more detail the developments with regard to the main objectives and flagship initiatives of the strategy.

- Europe 2020 indicators are an input into the annual European Economic Policies Report by the Commission.
Selection criteria, EUROPE 2020

A strategy for jobs and smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, is based on five EU headline targets which are currently measured by 8 headline indicators. *(of which 3 are environmental indicators)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target:</th>
<th>Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of the greenhouse gas emissions by 20% compared to 1990</td>
<td>Greenhouse gas emissions, base year 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in the share of renewable energy sources in final energy</td>
<td>Share of renewables in gross final energy consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consumption to 20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% increase in energy efficiency</td>
<td>Energy intensity of the economy <em>(proxy indicator for energy savings, under development)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selection criteria, applied for EUROPE 2020

Feasibility

by looking at timeliness and coverage: The indicator has to be available in time for Member States, Candidate Countries and as far as possible the United States and Japan. Time series beginning in 1990 are provided as much as possible to allow for a dynamic analysis.

Technical soundness

comprising overall accuracy, comparability (over time and across countries), is assessed on the basis of existing quality information in the domain. The indicator should stem from reliable sources meeting high standards and involving statistical expertise as regards the technique and methodology applied. The indicator should be comparable between Member States, Candidate Countries as well as with the United States and Japan. The indicator has to be comparable from one year to another.
Selection criteria, applied for EUROPE 2020

For each of these components a brief *overall assessment* is provided, substantiated by further qualitative information, if considered useful.

The *quality profile* discusses the relevance which is considered here to comprise the content and suitability of the indicator to measure appropriately the phenomenon considered. Moreover, room is provided to describe other characteristics which may lead to restricting the use of the indicator, relating e.g. to its own complexity, a lack of an unambiguous scientific basis or to the coherence with other existing indicators, lack of comprehensive metadata etc.

The European Statistical System (ESS) has defined the following *quality criteria* to be applied to statistical data:

Relevance, Accessibility and Clarity, Timeliness and Punctuality, Coherence, Comparability and Accuracy.
Quality criteria for statistical data

**Relevance** refers to the extent to which the statistical data satisfy the needs of the users.

**Accessibility** refers to the physical conditions under which users can obtain the statistical data.

**Clarity** refers to the availability of appropriate documentation linked to the statistical data and to the additional assistance which producers supply to users.

**Timeliness of statistical data** is the length of time between their availability and the moment at which the phenomena they describe occurred.

**Punctuality** refers to any time lag between the release and the target date by which the data should have been delivered.

**Coherence** aims to measure the reliability of the statistical data if combined with other statistics in different ways and for other uses.

**Comparability** tries to measure the effect of the differences in the applied statistical concepts and measurement procedures when the statistical data are compared between geographic areas, over time or between different domains.

**Accuracy**, in a statistical sense, refers to the closeness of the statistical data to the (in general) unknown true or exact value of the measured phenomena. Usually this closeness can be measured by using statistical indicators such as bias and variability of the statistical data.
Conclusions (... on indicator selection)

- The selection process and criteria should be described in the meta-data (not the case for most indicators)

- Should the selection process be more systematic and transparent?

- Should the selection criteria consider inter-relations among indicators in a set, and not only focus on individual indicators?

- Coordination of work during indicator selection and development, as well as a good user – producer dialogue is important (Should FDES address producers and users?)

- Should critiques on current procedures and proposals for improvements be considered for the revision of the FDES?

Selection criteria of environmental indicators – the European experience
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