

Goal 16

Target number: 16.10

Indicator Number and Name: 16.10.1 - Number of verified cases of killing, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention and torture of journalists, associated media personnel, trade unionists and human rights advocates in the previous 12 months

Agency: OHCHR

Has work for the development of this indicator begun? Yes

Who are the entities, including national and international experts, directly involved and consulted in developing the methodology/and or data collection tools?

"UN organizations/entities: Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights; United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization; International Labour Organization; International human rights mechanisms

Members of the Praia working group on indicator 16.10.1: ECOWAS - Gbogboto Bundu Musa ; Institut National de la Statistique du Niger - Amadou Garba Halimatou ; Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics – Khalid Abu Khalid; Statistics South Africa - Isabelle Schmidt; INEGI (Mexico) (tbc)

Other potentially involved organizations/entities include regional and national human rights mechanisms and additional National Statistical Offices and civil society organizations

In terms of consultative process, OHCHR started consulting organizations and experts on a bilateral basis. OHCHR is partnering with UNESCO and ILO, which provided inputs for the present submission. The process envisaged for developing the indicator and its methodology will be discussed during a first meeting of the Praia Working Group (see above members list) in Paris, 4-6 July 2016."

What is the involvement of or how do you plan to involve National Statistical Systems in the development of the methodology?

"National statistical systems will be involved in the development of the methodology in the context of the work of the Praia Group and in other relevant consultations that will be organized on the same topic. Different national stakeholders (e.g. national statistical offices, human rights institutions) will be identified and take part in data collection efforts depending on country context and institutional framework. Among the issues to be addressed in the development of the methodology for this indicator are the following:

1. Lack of common/consistent definitions, methodologies and practices
2. Data disaggregation by characteristics of victims/perpetrators and type of abuses
3. Protection of human rights (e.g. data confidentiality)
4. Reconciling data from various sources (avoiding duplication of records)
5. How to expand data coverage and strengthen capacity at country level to enable OHCHR, UNESCO, ILO and other relevant international mechanisms to produce consistent global figures"

Please briefly describe the process of developing the methodology for the indicator

"As mentioned above, a first meeting of the Praia working group created to work on this indicator will be held in Paris on 4-6 July 2016. The meeting will provide an opportunity to discuss with partners and experts, including representatives from national statistical systems, about respective work and data collection, and identify definitional, methodological and practical issues to be considered in developing the methodology. The meeting will help specify further the process to be followed for developing the methodology.

Based on the results of the Praia working group meeting, conduct an in-depth technical review of the existing definitions, methodologies, dissemination practices and data sources currently used to measure violence against journalists, human rights defenders and trade unionists, their compatibility with the proposed SDG indicator and suitability for global reporting. Starting from the data currently collected by OHCHR, UNESCO and ILO, this review will seek to cover data collection carried by multiple actors, including national statistical offices and other governmental agencies, national human rights institutions and civil society organisations.

The results of this mapping exercise will be discussed at subsequent expert consultations, involving the members of the Working Group on the SDG indicator 16.10.1 created under the Praia Group on Governance Statistics, OHCHR, UNESCO and ILO and other relevant stakeholders. These consultations will help develop guidance on definitions, methods and practices relevant to the compilation of this indicator. It will also provide common standards, tools and recommendations to reconcile data coming from multiple sources; strengthen data coverage; and ensure implementation of statistical and human rights standards in these data collection efforts.

In line with the mandate of the Praia Group, this process will seek to be inclusive and to further collaboration between stakeholders at national and international levels. Guidance and collaboration developed should enable OHCHR, UNESCO and ILO, to compile and release consistent figures on indicator 16.10.1. The tools developed will be reflected in the handbook to be prepared and submitted by the Praia Group to the UN Statistical Commission.

Capacity building activities, exchanges on good practices and development of a network(s) of experts and stakeholders, both at national and international levels, are also planned as part of the development of the indicator and its validation process."

Please indicate new international standards that will need to be proposed and approved by an intergovernmental process (such as UNSC) for this methodology.

The methodology to be developed will rely and build primarily on existing international legal and statistical standards, including international human rights law and the International Classification of Crimes for Statistical Purposes (ICCS). If new international standards will have to be developed (none are currently anticipated), they will be proposed to the UNSC through the Praia Group, and if applicable, through the mechanism overseeing the ICCS.

When do you expect the methodological work on this indicator to be completed?

Towards the end of 2017

Are data and metadata already being collected from the National Statistical System for one or more components of this indicator?

Yes

If yes, please describe:

Available data is currently being collected from a variety of national sources, including national human rights institutions, Ministry of Justice/Labour, and civil society organizations. Mapping available data and metadata will be part of the survey exercise mentioned in 6.2.3.

How do you plan to collect the data?

Send questionnaire(s) to country, Obtain data directly from country database/website, Joint survey/compilation with national agency and international entity, Build on existing databases and mechanisms supported by OHCHR, UNESCO and ILO as part of their mandates

If the indicator involves multiple components from different data sources, please describe how each individual component of the indicator will be collected here.

The methodology for collecting data from different data sources is still being developed.

With what frequency is data expected to be collected?

Annually

Is there a process of data validation by countries in place or planned for this indicator?

Yes

If yes, please briefly describe:

A data validation process will be developed building on existing validation practices followed by OHCHR, UNESCO and ILO and the international mechanisms they service in conformity with their respective mandates.

If you have any additional comments that you believe would be helpful to IAEG-SDG members in analysing the work plan and methodological development of the indicator, please provide them here:

A statistical definition of Trade Unionist is already being used in agreement with ICLS to compile data on trade union density (ILO).

(As of 3 March 2017)