SDG indicator metadata
(Harmonized metadata template - format version 1.1)

0. Indicator information (SDG_INDICATOR_INFO)

0.a. Goal (SDG_GOAL)
Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere

0.b. Target (SDG_TARGET)
Target 1.1: By 2030, eradicate extreme poverty for all people everywhere, currently measured as people living on less than $1.25 a day

0.c. Indicator (SDG_INDICATOR)
Indicator 1.1.1: Proportion of the population living below the international poverty line by sex, age, employment status and geographic location (urban/rural)

0.d. Series (SDG_SERIES_DESCR)
SI_POV_EMP1 - Employed population below international poverty line [1.1.1]

0.e. Metadata update (META_LAST_UPDATE)
2023-12-15

0.f. Related indicators (SDG_RELATED_INDICATORS)
1.3.1, 8.2.1, 8.3.1, 8.5.1, 8.5.2, 10.4.1

0.g. International organisations(s) responsible for global monitoring (SDG_CUSTODIAN_AGENCIES)
International Labour Organization (ILO)

1. Data reporter (CONTACT)
1.a. Organisation (CONTACT_ORGANISATION)

International Labour Organization (ILO)

2. Definition, concepts, and classifications (IND_DEF_CON_CLASS)

2.a. Definition and concepts (STAT_CONC_DEF)

Definition:
The proportion of the employed population below the international poverty line of US$1.90 per day, also referred to as the working poverty rate, is defined as the share of employed persons living in households with per-capita consumption or income that is below the international poverty line of US$1.90.

Concepts:
Employment: All persons of working age who, during a short reference period (one week), were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit.
Poverty Line: Threshold below which individuals in the reference population are considered poor and above which they are considered non-poor. The threshold is generally defined as the per-capita monetary requirements an individual needs to afford the purchase of a basic bundle of goods and services. For the purpose of this indicator, an absolute international poverty line of US$1.90 per day is used.

Household in poverty: Households are defined as poor if their income or consumption expenditure is below the poverty line taking into account the number of household members and composition (e.g., number of adults and children).

Working poor: Employed persons living in households that are classified as poor, that is, that have income or consumption levels below the poverty line used for measurement.

2.b. Unit of measure (UNIT_MEASURE)

Percent (%)

2.c. Classifications (CLASS_SYSTEM)

The series is disaggregated by sex and age, for which there are no standard international classifications. The age groups refer to all persons (aged 15+), youth (aged 15-24) and adults (aged 25+).

3. Data source type and data collection method (SRC_TYPE_COLL_METHOD)

3.a. Data sources (SOURCE_TYPE)

The preferred data source is a household survey with variables that can reliably identify both the poverty status of households and the economic activity of the household’s members. Examples include household income and expenditure surveys (HIES), living standards measurement surveys (LSMS) with employment modules, or labour force surveys (LFS) that collect information on household income. Such surveys offer the benefit of allowing the employment status and income (or consumption expenditure) variables to be derived from the same sampled households ideally for the same observation period.

Employment estimates derived from a household survey other than a labour force survey may, however, not be the most robust due to questionnaire design. Similarly, a labour force survey may not be the best instrument for collecting household income or consumption expenditure data, although an attached income module can be designed to achieve statistically reliable results, including ensuring an overlap in the observation period between household income (or consumption expenditure) and employment status.

Another possibility is to combine data from a household income and expenditure survey and from a separate labour force survey when the respondent households can be matched and consistency in the long observation period between the surveys can be obtained.

3.b. Data collection method (COLL_METHOD)

The ILO processes national household survey microdatasets in line with internationally-agreed indicator concepts and definitions set forth by the International Conference of Labour Statisticians (ICLS).
3.c. Data collection calendar *(FREQ_COLL)*

Continuous

3.d. Data release calendar *(REL_CAL_POLICY)*

National data are updated weekly on ILOSTAT. Global and regional estimates are updated once per year (in November or December).

3.e. Data providers *(DATA_SOURCE)*

Mainly National Statistical Offices.

3.f. Data compilers *(COMPILING_ORG)*

International Labour Organization (ILO)

3.g. Institutional mandate *(INST_MANDATE)*

The ILO is the UN focal point for labour statistics. It sets international standards for labour statistics through the International Conference of Labour Statisticians (ICLS). It also compiles and produces labour statistics with the goal of disseminating internationally-comparable datasets and provides technical assistance and training to ILO Member States to support their efforts to produce high quality labour market data.

4. Other methodological considerations *(OTHER_METHOD)*

4.a. Rationale *(RATIONALE)*

In order to eradicate poverty, we must understand the root causes of poverty. The working poverty rate reveals the proportion of the employed population living in poverty despite being employed, implying that their employment-related incomes are not sufficient to lift them and their families out of poverty and ensure decent living conditions. The adequacy of earnings is a fundamental aspect of job quality, and these deficits in job quality could be keeping workers and their families in poverty.

The proportion of working poor in total employment (that is, the working poverty rate) combines data on household income or consumption with labour force framework variables measured at the individual level and sheds light on the relationship between employment and household poverty.

4.b. Comment and limitations *(REC_USE_LIM)*

At the country level, comparisons over time may be affected by such factors as changes in survey types or data collection methods. The use of Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) rather than market exchange rates ensures that differences in price levels across countries are taken into account. However, it cannot be categorically asserted that two people in two different countries, living below US$1.90 a day at PPP, face the same degree of deprivation or have the same degree of need.

Poverty in the context of this indicator is a concept that is applied to households, and not to individuals, based on the assumption that households pool their income. This assumption may not always be true.
Moreover, the poverty status of a household is a function of the wage and other employment-related income secured by those household members in employment, income derived from asset ownership, plus any other available income such as transfer payments and the number of household members. Whether a worker is counted as working poor therefore depends on his or her own income, the income of other household members and the number of household members who need to be supported. It is thus often valuable to study household structure in relation to working poverty.

4.c. Method of computation (DATA_COMP)

\[
\text{Working poverty rate} = \frac{\text{Employed persons living on less than US$ 1.90 a day}}{\text{Total employment}} \times 100
\]

4.d. Validation (DATA_VALIDATION)

The ILO engages in annual consultations with Member States through the ILOSTAT questionnaire and related Statistics Reporting System (StaRS). National data providers receive a link to the portal where they can review all national SDG data available on ILOSTAT.

4.e. Adjustments (ADJUSTMENT)

Through the ILO Harmonized Microdata initiative, the ILO strives to produce internationally comparable labour statistics based on the indicator concepts and definitions adopted by the International Conference of Labour Statisticians (ICLS).

4.f. Treatment of missing values (i) at country level and (ii) at regional level (IMPUTATION)

- Estimates are produced for countries and years for which no direct working poverty estimates are available based on household survey estimates, but for which total poverty estimates are available in the World Bank’s PovcalNet database. This is carried out through a multivariate regression model described in “Employment and economic class in the developing world” (Kapsos and Bourmpoula, 2013), available at http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---inst/documents/publication/wcms_216451.pdf.
- Following the step described directly above, missing data at the national level are estimated through a multivariate regression model for the purpose of producing global and regional estimates.

4.g. Regional aggregations (REG_AGG)

The ILO produces global and regional estimates of employment by economic class (and thus, of working poverty rates) using the ILO’s Employment by Class (EbyC) model. These estimates are part of the ILO Estimates and Projections series, analysed in the ILO’s World Employment and Social Outlook reports. For more information, on the model used to derive these estimates, refer to the ILO paper “Employment and
4.h. Methods and guidance available to countries for the compilation of the data at the national level

National poverty estimates will differ from this SDG indicator. This SDG indicator uses the international poverty line of US$1.90 at purchasing power parity. For further information, see: Decent Work and the Sustainable Development Goals: A Guidebook on SDG Labour Market Indicators (ILO) https://www.ilo.org/global/statistics-and-databases/publications/WCMS_647109/lang--en/index.htm

4.i. Quality management

The processes of compilation, production, and publication of data, including its quality control, are carried out following the methodological framework and standards established by the ILO Department of Statistics, in compliance with the information technology and management standards of the ILO.

4.j Quality assurance

Data consistency and quality checks are regularly conducted for validation of the data before dissemination in the ILOSTAT database. These checks consist of data and metadata revision of all the relevant inputs applying protocols to ensure that international comparability and time-series consistency are maintained. For the resulting modelled estimates, both statistical and judgmental assessments of the output data are carried out.

4.k Quality assessment

The final assessment of the quality of information is carried out by the Data Production and Analysis Unit of the ILO Department of Statistics. If any issues encountered cannot be clarified, the respective information is not published.

5. Data availability and disaggregation

Data availability:
Data for this indicator is available for 119 countries and territories.

Time series:
This submission covers country data from 2000 to 2022. Global and regional aggregates are available from 2000 to 2022.

Disaggregation:
The working poverty rate (proportion of employed persons living in poverty) is disaggregated by sex and age.

6. Comparability / deviation from international standards
National poverty estimates will differ from this SDG indicator. This SDG indicator uses the international poverty line, currently set at US$1.90 at purchasing power parity. For further information, see: Decent Work and the Sustainable Development Goals: A Guidebook on SDG Labour Market Indicators (ILO) https://www.ilo.org/global/statistics-and-databases/publications/WCMS_647109/lang--en/index.htm

7. References and Documentation (OTHER_DOC)

- ILOSTAT (https://ilostat.ilo.org/).
  - ILOSTAT’s topic page on working poverty (https://ilostat.ilo.org/topics/working-poor/)