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Workstream on Data Disaggregation

Goal: “Leave no one behind”

Call for disaggregation of all indicators by:

- Income
- Sex
- Age
- Race
- Ethnicity
- Migration status
- Disability
- Geographical location
- Other characteristics relevant in national context
- Dimensions mentioned explicitly in the indicator and the target
What have we achieved so far?

- Clarified terminology
  - Dimension
  - Categories
- Detailed overview of dimensions asked by target and indicator name/metadata
- Report (constantly updated) on existing standards and classifications for disaggregation as guideline for future work on categories
- Matrix of disaggregation available so far
- Agreed on a “minimum” disaggregation as basis for further work
  - dimensions stated in the targets and the corresponding indicators
What have we learned?

- Full disaggregation of all indicators by all dimensions and detailed categories ...
  - ... is extremely burdensome
  - ... is by definition not possible for some indicators
  - ... is forbidden for some dimensions in some countries/regions (e.g. race or ethnicity)

- Some disaggregation is highly relevant on national/local level for all countries but of less political relevance as global aggregate

➤ **Need to prioritize**
Priorities decision process - Disaggregation

Indicator & Dimension(s)

Disaggregation required for all/a group of countries

Global disaggregated aggregates required

Higher relevance

Need to disaggregate based on agreed harmonized classification/categories

Lower relevance

Continue using national standard or implement an existing standard if disaggregation is required on national level

Higher relevance

Continue using national standard (if detailed enough) or implement an existing standard

Lower relevance
Way forward: Next steps

- Reach consensus with expert groups about indicators and their disaggregation dimensions and categories …
  - urgently required
  - to be harmonized to have aggregates at the global level
- Expert groups propose agreed on priority short lists
- Discussion and refinement of priority short lists by IAEG-SDG
- Cross check short list vs. information already available

- This work should be basis for capacity building to produced data on a more granular level
- Request resources in order to enable the data collection in respective countries
- HLG-PCCB, Cape town action plan, Dubai declaration
Way forward: preliminary time frame

- Friday: Expert group and custodian agency consultation
- Mid Nov – Mid Dec: follow up consultation with expert groups and custodian agencies
- End Dec– Mid Jan: Open Consultation
- End Jan -> IAEG SDG finalizing
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