



Tier Classification for SDG 4 UNESCO

Mexico City, IAEG to SDGs Meeting March, 2016



Criteria for TIER Classification

- Tier 1 conceptually clear, established methodology and standards Available but data is produced regularly by countries
- □ Tier 2 conceptually clear, established methodology and standards Available but data is not produced regularly by countries
- Tier 3: Indicators for which there are no standard and methodology are being developed/tested

Why SDG 4.1. is TIER I and II?

- SDG 4.1. has 3 points of measurement: i) grades 2/3; End of Primary; and iii) End of Lower High School
- Encompasses National Learning Assessments, Cross National Assessment of regional and Global Coverage at different level of education.
- Involved various initiatives and agencies depending on the Level.
- Each point of measurement has different "coverage" and agreed standards with End of Primary and End of Lower HS

4.1. all assessment

Region	# of countries with assessments			# of countries	# of		
	National	Regional	Cross - national	with no assessments	countries with at least 1 assessment	Total #	% of region
East Asia and Pacific	22	14	14	8	32	40	90
Europe and Central Asia	41	0	46	9	28	57	84
Latin America and Caribbean	26	18	17	14	27	41	66
Middle East and North Africa	3	0	2	1	3	4	75
South Asia	8	0	1	0	8	8	100
Sub-Saharan Africa	30	27	3	11	37	48	77
TOTAL	146	59	101	47	173	220	79

Cross National Initiatives

Name	Name description
PIRLS	Progress in International Reading Literacy Studies
TIMSS	Trend in International Mathematics and Science Studies
PISA	Programme for International Student Assessment
TERCE	Laboratorio Latinoamericano de Evaluación de la Calidad de la Educación (LLECE)
SACMEQ	Southern and Eastern Africa Consortium for Monitoring Educational Quality
PASEC	CONFEMEN Programme for the Analysis of Education Systems
PILNA	Pacific Island Literacy and Numeracy Assessment

Cross National Assessments

Grade	Assessment	# of countries	Reading	Numeracy and mathematics
2	PASEC	10	X	Х
3	TERCE	15	X	X
	PRILS	48	X	
4	PILNA	13	Х	X
	TIMSS	63		Х
5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	TERCE	15	X	X
	PASEC	10	X	X
6	PILNA	13	X	X
	SACMEQ	15	X	X
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	TIMSS	63		X
9 or 10	PISA (15-year olds)	65	X	X

4.1. UNESCO TIER PROPOSAL

- **4.1.1**:
- □ TIER 1: End of Primary and End of Lower Secondary
 - Wide coverage and the existence of agreed methodologies and initiatives
- □ Early grades (2/3) assessment could be considered in Tier II.

4.5.1 Parity Indices

- The fact that we can not disaggregate for all categories is the only problem in this case
- Disaggregation in some cases is already public and available (e.g. Gender)
- The problem is data collection not methodology and once collected the estimate of indicator is simple does not need any harmonization criteria.
- Not all indicators need disaggregation

4.7.1 and 12.8.1 GCED

- Methodology is based on national reporting on progress towards a UNESCO convention
- A revised reporting template will be submitted to UNESCO EB and once approved, countries will begin submitting their reports
- Tier II seems to be the right classification
- Methodology of data collection and reporting indicators again is simple

GCED	Jere agam.				
Level	National policy	Teachers curricu	Curriculum	NLA	NLA
Primary					
HS					Q

Education 2030 Technical Coordinating Group

- Builds on the work of the Technical Advisory Group which developed the proposals for the thematic and global indicators for monitoring SDG4
- Has mandate to advise on implementation and to map capacity building and financial needs, and the methodological development of indicators
- UIS/UNICEF/World Bank and OECD are launching on April 5th an Interagency group on disaggregated indicators in education to ensure harmonization of standards and methodologies for equity.





Thank you!

UNESCO Institute for Statistics

@UNESCOstat