UNDP MODEL GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK FOR DIGITAL LEGAL **IDENTITY SYSTEMS**

Presentation

March 2024





Digital ID has proven an effective enabler for development, but we are not at SDG 16:9

The potential of digital ID...



3 - 13% GDP growth

could be unlocked in individual countries by 2030 from the implementation of digital ID programs³

...and the work ahead for (digital) identity for everyone



850 Mn people

do not have any official form of identification, and therefore have limited access to public services and economic mobility¹



16% more women than men

don't have access to ID resulting in limited legal status and access to asset ownership²



But ID is hard to get right: Complexity is compounded by the many stakeholders and wide-ranging nature of ID programming

How are existing systems for refugee status and stateless person determination integrated into the digital legal ID?

(Equality and non-discrimination)

How do rights to administrative appeal apply to **private sector bodies** involved in the ID system? (Accountability and the rule of law)

Has a data protection impact
assessment been conducted (and if
so, at what stage of the system
development)?
(Legal and regulatory framework)

Are there any exemptions for the government from data protection obligations? Are they accessible to the public?

(Data protection and privacy)



What systems exist for the **coordination of initiatives** in relation to digital legal ID
among different ministries and agencies?

(Capable institutions)

Is the digital legal ID enrolment required by law to access private services; if so, which?

(User value)

Is information about the ID system proactively published e.g. in an annual report?

(Participation and access to information)

Do **procurement guidelines** include standards (e.g. on interoperability and data standards, level of technical documentation) to support changing vendors?

(Procurement and anti-corruption)





A court ruling found the ID card scheme's indefinite period of retention of biometrics unconstitutional.

A court ruling prohibited the government from conditioning access to public services on national identity registration.

A court declared the country's national identification and registration act system that collected demographic, biometrics and biographic information unconstitutional and void.

Some articles point out that children are not able to access to schools due to the lack of ID cards despite the court ruling against such practice.

A court prohibited the private entities from requiring national ID cards for any service.

Some research show that channeling complaints or establishing dialogue with institutions in charge of managing digital legal identification systems is often difficult, especially where the national ID system is managed by the security sector.

In summary



Digital ID systems are rapidly being deployed

But the appropriate
governance frameworks
and models lag behind
the development of
systems and technologies

Further guidance and support is needed on the governance of identity systems (particularly in the nexus of legal to digital identity)

of Foreign Affairs LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK CAPABLE AT A GLANCE PROTECTION AND PRIVACY https://www.governance4id.org/

MODEL GIVERNANCE FRAMEWORK FOR DIGITAL LEGAL IDENTITY

Digital legal ID, a foundational digital public infrastructure, has the potential to catalyze digital transformation and development opportunities across countries.

However, without effective governance, digital legal ID systems risk perpetuating, and potentially exacerbating, the challenges seen in previous identity systems.

Therefore, UNDP has taken the initiative to draft a model governance framework.

This framework is intended to outline a normative model of the laws, policies and institutional arrangements that can help ensure the governance of digital legal ID systems is both inclusive and respectful of individual rights.



UNDP Model Governance Framework for Digital Legal ID



Comprehensive Coverage of Digital Legal ID Governance with 8 high-level elements and 80+ sub-elements

- Convergence between Legal Identity based on CRVS and Digital Identity (Approved model by ECOSOC)
- Based on 80+ existing literature (international standards, guidelines, research, etc.)
- Guiding governments to identify gaps in governance and strategic direction going forward by laying out normative standards
- enable implementation of normative principles (incl. WB's principles on identification)
- Easily digestible by users with online framework and AI chatbot rather than a long report

How to use the framework?



Prepare

- Study the Framework
- Identify valuable national use cases or purpose of the ID system
- Customize the framework to meet your needs (defining elements and anchor questions)
- Conduct desk-based research, and interviews with key informants to map the framework against your findings.

Analyse

- Identify gaps in information and/or the need for further research.
- Use mapping information to do an analysis identifying strengths and weaknesses.

Engage

- Convene a meeting of stakeholders to validate analysis, fill gaps in knowledge and generate recommendations for policy.
- Use analysis and workshop outcomes to generate an implementation strategy.

De-risking through better governance:



The UNDP Model Governance Framework* for Digital Legal Identity Systems

Illustrative overview



8 detailed categories

High-level elements that are crucial for the robust governance system

Category context

Helpful description and context to what each category contains

Rights implications

Explanation on how each element impacts on the lives of people from human rights perspectives

Anchor questions

Key questions to pose in reviews, workshops, etc. on each category

Example scenarios

Some model example for each element that describes some of the ideal status

^{*}The framework goes beyond tooling to include a lot of other aspects and avenues of support we will cover

Case 1: Country X





Transgender and gender nonconforming people struggled to prove their gender identity to officials

Insufficient Effort of Inclusion: A lack of training in sign language for registration officials made it difficult for the people with hearing impairment to register, and registration sites were often physically inaccessible.

Gap in Implementation of Anti-Corruption Law:

Country X is seen to have strong anti-corruption laws and institutions and initiatives by the private sector complement the governmental efforts.

However, experts state that there is still a significant gap between law and practice

Insufficient information on redressal system: There is a real-time SMS and USSD redressal system but other system that involves non-digital means seem lacking.

AT A GLANCE

INSTITUTIONS

PROTECTION AND PRIVACY

Lack of human-rights centered design: No reports of human rights impact assessments conducted yet.

Insufficient access to information: Country X's national ID agency and Homeland Security's websites are inaccessible from outside the country.

Lack of Data Protection Law: In the absence of a data protection law, the Government of X has, in the last five years, embarked on a mass data collection through the national biometric ID.

Access to education and health for children: As the national ID became available for 16+ years old, it became mandatory to have national ID for the access to education and health, which creates an exclusion concerns.