

Methodological Experiment on Measuring Asset Ownership from a Gender Perspective (MEXA) An EDGE-LSMS-UBOS Collaboration

TALIP KILIC / HEATHER MOYLAN

Senior Economist / Survey Specialist Living Standards Measurement Study Team Development Research Group, The World Bank

tkilic@worldbank.org; hmoylan@worldbank.org www.worldbank.org/lsms

> EDGE Midterm Review Technical Meeting Kiyakyushu-city, Japan – December 3 2014

Core Team

- UNSD & EDGE Team
- World Bank: LSMS Talip Kilic, Heather Moylan, Arthur Shaw; Survey Solutions - Karol Karpinski, Slava Scherbak, Zurab Sajaia.
- UBoS: James Muwonge, Norah Madaya, Vincent Ssennono.



Objective

- Provide a comparative assessment of different approaches to respondent selection as part of a household survey experiment on individual level asset ownership & control
 - To inform the EDGE-supported surveys in pilot countries in 2015 & the UN guidelines on measurement of individual level asset ownership & control



Overview of Treatment Arms

Arm	Who?	How?	What?
1	"Most Knowledgeable" Household Member	Alone	Assets Owned Exclusively/ Jointly by Household Members
2	Randomly Selected Member of Principal Couple	Alone	Assets Owned Exclusively/ Jointly by Household Members
3	Principal Couple	Together	Assets Owned Exclusively/ Jointly by Household Members
4	Adult (18+) Household Members	Alone, Simultaneous	Assets Owned Exclusively/ Jointly by Household Members
5	Adult (18+) Household Members	Alone, Simultaneous	Assets Owned Exclusively/ Jointly by Respondent



Sample Composition

Table S 1: MEXA Households Interviewed

]	Interviewe	d w/ a Couple	
	Initial Allocation	Expected	Interviewed	% of Expected	Any	More than 1 Interview	Both Members of Couple Interviewed
TA #1	548	490	495	100%	324		N/A
TA #2	548	299	304	100%	304		N/A
TA #3	548	299	272	91%	272		272
TA #4	548	490	475	97%	302	187	150
TA #5	548	490	481	98%	310	182	160
Total	2,720	2,068	2,027	98%	1512	369	570



Sample Composition (2)

Table S 2. Distribution of Treatment Arm 4 & 5 Households According to # of Adults Interviewed

_	TA	.#4	TA	.#5	
_	Total	%	Total	%	
Households Interviewed	475		481		
All Eligible Adults Interviewed	295	0.61	286	0.59	
4 adults	14	0.03	15	0.03	
3 adults	20	0.04	23	0.05	
2 adults	137	0.29	133	0.28	
1 adults	124	0.26	115	0.24	
Subset of Eligible Adults Interviewed	180	0.38	195	0.41	
3 out of 4	15	0.03	12	0.02	
2 out of 4	20	0.04	21	0.04	
1 out of 4	11	0.02	12	0.02	
2 out of 3	26	0.05	23	0.05	
1 out of 3	8	0.02	12	0.02	
1 out of 2	100	0.21	115	0.24	
Average # of Adults Interviewed per Household	1.0	62	1.	61	
% of Eligible Respondents Interviewed	76	%	75%		



Interview Dynamics

Table S 3: Respondent/Enumerator Gender Match

		_	Gender of	Enumerator
			Male	Female
	Overall	Male	74.6	25.4
Gender of Respondent	Overan	Female	18.4	81.6
	TA #1	Male	72.3	27.7
noa	1A #1	Female	24.7	75.3
Res	TA #2	Male	77.9	22.2
of I	1A #2	Female	20.0	80.0
der	TA #4	Male	80.8	19.2
řena	1A #4	Female	16.3	83.7
0	TA #5	Male	79.6	20.4
	1A #3	Female	16.5	83.5

Table S 4: Breakdown of TA #3
Interviews by Enumerator Gender

Male	Female
55.2	44.9



Interview Dynamics (2)

Table S 5: Total Individual	Interview Duration	(Minutes) hy	Treatment Arm
Table 5.5. I biai mulyiuuai	IIIICI VICW DUI AUUI	i i iviiii uics / D v	11 Cauncii Ai iii

<u> </u>	7.5.10		riuuc	11 1111		uranon	`					
		All			Respon	dent/En	umer	ator	Respo	ondent/H	Cnum	erator
]	Respond	ents		Gender Overlap			Gender Discrepancy				
	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Min	Max
TA #1	36	31	5	131	36	32	5	129	35	30	6	131
Observations	405				304				101			
TA #2	36	31	5	124	36	32	5	124	36	28	8	116
Observations	283				232				51			
TA #3	39	36	7	118								
Observations			•	110								
Observations	210											
TA #4	34	31	5	132	35	32	5	132	31	27	6	118
Observations	625	31	3	132	516	32	3	132	109	21	U	110
Obsci vations	023				310				109			
TA #5	29	24	5	131	29	25	5	131	28	21	5	126
_		24	3	131		23	3	131		21	3	120
Observations	645				531				114			
Overall	34	30	5	132	34	30	5	132	33	28	5	131
Observations	2,174				1,721				453			



Interview Dynamics (3)

		# of	Simultane	ous Intervi	iews
	# of HHs	0	2	3	4
TA #4					
2 interviews	158	36.0	64.0	N/A	N/A
3 interviews	32	6.3	28.1	65.6	N/A
4 interviews	13	0.0	23.1	23.1	53.9
TA #5					
2 interviews	163	41.3	58.7	N/A	N/A
3 interviews	33	0.0	27.3	72.7	N/A
4 interviews	10	10.0	10.0	30.0	50.0



Interview Dynamics (4)

Table S 7. Incidence of Respondents Interviewed Alone By Module

	· meruence of Resp	TA #1	TA #2	TA #3	TA #4	TA #5
Module 3	Alone	90.1	91.0	85.3	92.3	91.5
Dwelling	With adult female	1.4		3.3	0.1	0.6
O	With adult male	3.2	2.7	2.6	2.6	3.5
	Mixed sex present	0.2		0.4	0.3	0.1
	With children	5.1	6.3	8.5	4.7	4.3
Module 4	Alone	91.0	91.4	81.1	93.8	91.9
Agricultural Land	With adult female	1.4	0.6	2.5	0.3	0.8
	With adult male	2.6	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.9
	Mixed sex present	0.2	0.3		0.3	0.4
	With children	4.8	5.7	10.1	3.1	4.0
Module 7	Alone	90.6	91.0	86.6	94.0	92.2
Non-Farm Enterprises	With adult female	1.4	0.6	3.3	0.1	0.6
	With adult male	3.4	1.8	2.2	2.6	3.1
	Mixed sex present	0.2			0.1	0.5
	With children	4.4	6.6	7.6	3.2	3.5
Module 10	Alone	91.8	92.2	85.9	93.8	92.0
Financial Assets	With adult female	1.2	0.6	2.9	0.3	0.5
	With adult male	2.8	2.4	2.5	2.2	3.3
	Mixed sex present			0.8	0.1	0.4
	With children	4.2	4.8	8.0	3.6	3.9

Note: "Respondent" is the principal couple for TA #3.



Interview Dynamics (5)

Table S 8. Incidence of Treatment Arm 3 Couples Interviewed Together

		Overall	Urban	Rural
Module 3	Together and both participating	84.9	84.3	85.0
Dwelling	Together, husband participating, wife not	14.4	13.7	14.6
	Together, wife participating, husband not	0.4		0.5
	Husband present, wife absent	0.4	2.0	
	Wife present, Husband absent			
Module 4	Together and both participating	81.2	86.3	80.5
Agricultural Land	Together, husband participating, wife not	15.9	13.7	16.4
	Together, wife participating, husband not	1.8		2.3
	Husband present, wife absent	0.7		0.9
	Wife present, Husband absent	0.4		
Module 7	Together and both participating	89.5	90.2	89.6
Non-Farm Enterprises	Together, husband participating, wife not	7.3	5.9	7.7
	Together, wife participating, husband not	0.7		0.9
	Husband present, wife absent	1.1	2.0	0.9
	Wife present, Husband absent	1.5	2.0	0.9
Module 10	Together and both participating	87.6	86.3	88.1
Financial Assets	Together, husband participating, wife not	8.4	5.9	9.1
	Together, wife participating, husband not	1.5	2.0	1.4
	Husband present, wife absent	1.8	3.9	1.4
	Wife present, Husband absent	0.7	2.0	

Scope of Preliminary Analysis

- Balance tests suggest that randomization across treatment arms was implemented successfully
- Priority modules: Dwelling, Agricultural Land, Non-Farm Enterprises, Financial Assets
- Unit of analysis: Adult individual
- Scope of inter-arm comparisons
 - Across Arms 1 through 5 using only households with a couple
 - Across Arms 1, 4 & 5 using all households in these arms
- Approaches to inter-arm comparisons
 - Taking individual reporting as is in Arms 4-5
 - Taking only Presumed Most Knowledgeable Member reporting in Arms 4-5



Scope of Preliminary Analysis (2)

- Primary dependent variables All binary (8)
 - Reported Ownership Overall; Exclusive; Joint (w/ anyone)
 - Economic Ownership Overall; Exclusive; Joint (w/ anyone)
 - Right to Sell Irrespective of the need to obtain consent
 - Right to Bequeath Irrespective of the need to obtain consent
- Secondary dependent variables All continuous (3)
 - PCA-based overall, exclusive & joint rights indices across 5 rights



Scope of Preliminary Analysis (3)

Core specification:

$$y_{ih} = \propto +\beta_1 \tau 2_{ih} + \beta_2 \tau 3_{ih} + \beta_3 \tau 4_{ih} + \beta_4 \tau 5_{ih} + \gamma C + \varepsilon_{ih}$$

- Probit (OLS) regressions for binary (continuous) dependent variables
- Standard errors clustered at the EA-level, take into account stratification
- i & h represent individual & household
- α & ε constant & error term
- $-\tau 2, \tau 3, \tau 4 \& \tau 5$ identifiers for Arms 2 through 5; **Comparison: Arm 1**
- Tests of equality of β_1 , β_2 , β_3 & β_4 for complete inter-arm comparisons
- − C vector of control variables
- Estimated on the whole & by gender



Vector of Controls

Individual Attributes

- Female
- Age (Years)
- Highest Educational Qualification
 - None (Default)
 - Primary
 - Secondary
 - Above Secondary
- Marital Status
 - Single (Default)
 - Separated/Divorced/Widowed
 - Married: Monogamous
 - Married: Polygamous
 - Cohabitating

- Religion
 - Catholic
 - Protestant
 - Muslim
 - Other (Default)
- Main Economic Activity (Past 12 Months)
 - None (Default)
 - On-Farm Employment
 - Off-Farm Employment



Vector of Controls (2)

Household Attributes

- Head of Household: Female
- Head of Household: Age (Years)
- Demographics
 - Members 0-6
 - Members 7-14
 - Male Members 15-39
 - Female Members 15-39
 - Male Members 40-59
 - Female Members 40-59
 - Members 60+
- Index (PCA) of Housing Quality
- Rural Residence

- Region
 - Center (Default)
 - East
 - West
 - North
- Survey Team Fixed Effects



Key Findings

Approach

- Synthesized for female adult population in households with a couple, for primary (8) dependent variables & (4) modules
- Taking individual reporting as is in Arms 4-5
- Focus on effects statistically significant at least at 5 percent level

Findings

- No statistically significant effects assoc. with Arm 2 across the board
- Arm 3: Positive effects on overall & joint dwelling reported ownership
 - No similar effect for other assets & for economic ownership



Key Findings (2)

- Arm 4: Positive effects on reported & economic ownership (overall & joint) across dwellings, agricultural land, financial assets
 - Positive effects sizeable in magnitude, significant at 1 percent level

	TA1	Average	Effect As a
	Mean	T4 Effect	% of TA1 Mean
Dwelling Reported Ownership	0.110	0.090	82%
Dwelling Economic Ownership	0.271	0.130	48%
Agricultural Land Reported Ownership	0.120	0.077	64%
Agricultural Land Economic Ownership	0.271	0.122	45%
Financial Asset Reported Ownership	0.182	0.088	49%

- Effects statistically indistinguishable from those associated with Arm 5
- Stronger in magnitude when restricting Arm 4 sample to households where both members of the principal couple were interviewed
- Still hold while working with all households, whether or not with a couple
- Wiped out if presumed most knowledgeable member reporting assumed to override other respondents

Living Standards Measurement Study

Synthesis of Key Findings for Female Adult Population Living in Households with a Couple

Signs of Coefficients Statistically Significant at 5 Percent Level & Above

				DV	VELL	ING				
	Reporte	ed Ownershi	p	Economic Owner	rship	Right	t to	Right	to	
	In	cidence		Incidence		Sel	l	Bequeath		
	Overall	Exclusive	Joint	Overall Exclusive	Joint	Unconditional	Conditional	Unconditional	Conditional	
TA #3	(+)		(+)							
TA #4	(+)		(+)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(+)		
TA #5	(+)		(+)	(+)	(+)					
				AGRICU	LTUE	RAL LAND				
	Reporte	ed Ownershi	p	Economic Owner	rship	Right	t to	Right	to	
	In	cidence		Incidence		Sel	1	Beque	ath	
	Overall	Exclusive	Joint	Overall Exclusive	Joint	Unconditional	Conditional	Unconditional	Conditional	
TA #4	(+)		(+)	(+)	(+)	(+)				
TA #5	(+)	(+)	(+)							
				NON-FARI	M EN	TERPRISES				
	Reporte	ed Ownershi	p	Economic Owner	rship	Right	t to	Right	to	
	In	cidence		Incidence		Sel		Beque		
	Overall	Exclusive	Joint	Overall Exclusive	Joint	Unconditional	Conditional	Unconditional	Conditional	
TA #3	(-)	(-)		(-)		(-)		(-)		
TA #4									(+)	
TA #5				(+)	(-)					
				FINAN	CIAL	ASSETS				
	Reporte	ed Ownershi	p	Economic Owner	rship	Right	t to	Right	to	
	In	cidence		Incidence		Sel	1	Beque	ath	
	Overall	Exclusive	Joint	Overall Exclusive	Joint	${\it Unconditional}$	Conditional	${\it Unconditional}$	Conditional	
TA #4	(+)	(+)	(+)	N/A		N/A		N/A		
1111	\ /	` ′					\			

Next Steps

- Synthesis of treatment effects for
 - Male adult population
 - Female & male respondents' reporting regarding their ownership/rights
 - Secondary dependent variables
- Unpacking joint ownership patterns, mapping reported & economic ownership to rights, formal ownership dynamics (low incidence)
- Intra-household discrepancies in reporting extent & correlates
- Hidden assets extent & correlates
- Extending the analysis beyond the priority modules
- Moving from individual to asset level analysis



Intra-Household Discrepancies in Reporting

Table S 9. Agreement on Individual Owners' Reported & Economic Ownership in Treatment Arm 4 Households According to # of Adults Interviewed

Including: Households with 2 or More Respondents & Individuals Reported as Owners by At Least 1 Respondent Level of Analysis: Individual Owners

Average Share of Respondents Reporting an Individual as an Owner

	Dwelling				Agricultural Land				
	On angli-	# Interviewed			011	# Interviewed			
	Overall-	2	3	4	Overall –	2	3	4	
Reported Ownership									
Overall	0.80	0.83	0.78	0.59	0.73	0.78	0.62	0.58	
Exclusive	0.82	0.84	0.78	0.77	0.79	0.81	0.78	0.71	
Joint	0.73	0.75	0.73	0.50	0.73	0.76	0.67	0.52	
Observations	267	202	40	25	300	221	52	27	
Economic Ownership									
Overall	0.75	0.79	0.69	0.59	0.72	0.76	0.60	0.58	
Exclusive	0.67	0.71	0.63	0.44	0.84	0.85	0.82	0.80	
Joint	0.86	0.88	0.80	0.83	0.67	0.73	0.54	0.53	
Observations	344	255	54	35	370	270	64	36	



Intra-Household Discrepancies in Reporting (2)

Table S 10. Agreement on Individual Owners' Reported & Economic Ownership in Treatment Arm 4 Households According to # of Adults Interviewed

Including: Households with 2 or More Respondents & Individuals Reported as Owners by At Least 1 Respondent

Level of Analysis: Individual Owners

Average Share of Respondents in Unanimous Agreement on Individual's Ownership Status

		lling		Agricultural Land				
	011	# Interviewed			0 11	# Interviewed		
	Overall-	2	3	4	Overall-	2	3	4
Reported Ownership								
Overall	0.61	0.66	0.55	0.32	0.48	0.55	0.31	0.26
Exclusive	0.35	0.39	0.35	0.04	0.30	0.34	0.21	0.11
Joint	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.00	0.00
Observations	267	202	40	25	300	221	52	27
Economic Ownership								
Overall	0.51	0.58	0.39	0.26	0.45	0.52	0.27	0.22
Exclusive	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.00
Joint	0.21	0.26	0.07	0.03	0.20	0.25	0.03	0.08
Observations	344	255	54	35	370	270	64	36



Intra-Household Discrepancies in Reporting (3)

Table S 11: TA#4 Household-Level Share of Respondents Reporting DWELLING Value Over vs. Under Compared to Value Reported by Presumed Most Knowledgeable Member, by # of Interviews

Compared to Value Reported by Presumed Most Knowledgeable Member, by # of Interviews	3		
	2	3	4
Over	57.8	53.9	41.7
Same	7.3	3.9	
Under	35.0	42.3	58.3
Average Within-Household Respondent Value As a Share of Presumed Most Knowledgeable Member Reported			
Value Averaged Across Households; Taking Shares As Is Prior to Computing Within-Household Average)			
No Trimming	129%	345%	776%
# of Households	123	25	11
Trimmed Top & Bottom 1%	47%	45%	220%
# of Households	119	23	9
Trimmed Top & Bottom 5 %	12%	13%	220%
# of Households	108	21	9
Average Within-Household Respondent Value As a Share of Presumed Most Knowledgeable Member Reported Value Averaged Across Households; Taking Absolute Value of Shares Prior to Computing Within-Household Average)			
No Trimming	196%	422%	847%
# of Households	123	25	11
Trimmed Top & Bottom 1%		125%	
# of Households	112	23	9
Trimmed Top & Bottom 5 %	82%	95%	300%
# of Households	107	21	9



Hidden Asset Ownership

Table S 12: Hidden Assets

tubic 5 12. Hidden rissets								
	# of Respon	ndents Owi	ning an Asset	# of Owners Reporting a Hidden Asset				
				Overall		Male	Female	
Module	Overall	Male	Female	#	%	%	%	
Parcels	833	62.3%	37.7%	25	3.0%			
Large Livestock	1014	53.5%	46.5%	49	4.8%			
Large Agricultural Equipment	102	66.7%	33.3%	0	0.0%			
Non-farm Enterprises	536	42.5%	57.5%	1	0.2%			
Other Real Estate	154	67.1%	32.9%	4	2.6%			
Financial Assets (Accounts)	795	46.9%	53.1%	111	14.0%	16.4%	12.8%	
Financial Assets (Loans)	287	56.4%	43.6%	78	27.2%	25.3%	29.6%	
Liabilities	410	51.1%	48.9%	93	22.7%	24.6%	17.7%	





Methodological Experiment on Measuring Asset Ownership from a Gender Perspective (MEXA) An EDGE-LSMS-UBOS Collaboration

TALIP KILIC / HEATHER MOYLAN

Senior Economist / Survey Specialist Living Standards Measurement Study Team Development Research Group, The World Bank

<u>tkilic@worldbank.org; hmoylan@worldbank.org</u> <u>www.worldbank.org/lsms</u>

> EDGE Midterm Review Technical Meeting Kiyakyushu-city, Japan – December 3 2014