United Nations E/CONF.98/6



Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General 22 June 2007

Original: English

Ninth United Nations Conference on the Standardization of Geographical Names

New York, 21-30 August 2007 Item 15 (b) of the provisional agenda* Features beyond a single sovereignty common to two or more nations

Endonym or exonym: is there a missing term in maritime names?

Submitted by Israel**

Summary***

There are cases of names for parts of the open seas or international waters that are contested by two or more nations (which names cannot, *senso stricto*, be defined formally as either endonyms or exonyms, as explained in the present paper). Such maritime names require a separate definition.

The paper investigates two such name pairs, namely, "Sea of Japan"/"East Sea" and "Persian Gulf"/"Arabian Gulf", analysing their status from a terminological viewpoint and reaching the conclusion that a new term is required to define them taxonomically. A suitable proposal is being presented to the Working Group on Toponymic Terminology.

^{***} The full text of the paper is being issued in English only as document E/CONF.98/6/Add.1.



^{*} E/CONF.98/1.

^{**} Prepared by Naftali Kadmon (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel), Convener of the Working Group on Toponymic Terminology.