# APPLYING LUCI AT THE NATIONAL SCALE IN WALES (and some model inter-comparisons)

Bridget Emmett<sup>1</sup>, Bethanna Jackson<sup>2</sup> & The GMEP Team

<sup>1</sup>NERC's Centre For Ecology And Hydrology, <sup>2</sup>University of Wellington Victoria NZ,















### Wales – some facts

3 million people 20,000km2 1,200km coastline One of wettest countries in Europe = grass! 95% rural land much of which is poor quality

 $GDP = \pounds 45blilion$  (of which tourism is  $\pounds 6B$ (wildlife-based  $\pounds 2B$ ); agriculture  $\pounds 1.4B$ ; forestry  $\pounds 0.5B$ 

Devolved in 1998 from UK government

#### Issues:

Ongoing decline in biodiversity; failure to meet Water Framework Directive targets; GHG emissions actually increased last year; agri sector heavily dependent on subsidies; poorly performing economy/jobs







John Griffiths, Environment Minister, UN Climate Change Conference, DOHA 2012)

"Wales will become the first country in the world to make it legally binding for all public bodies, from health trusts to libraries and schools, to take account of the environment and social issues when they make a decision"



Wales needs the models such as LUCI to undertake the spatial planning and scenario analysis for <u>integrated</u> <u>management</u> of 95% non-urban area of the country to deliver SD. An end to silo management!





### The UK National Ecosystem Assessment

Ecosystem services - monetary and non-monetary value People Other capital inputs Well-being value Primary & intermediate Final ecosystem Good(s) Snareo processes services Economic Health (social)  $\odot$ £ +/-> Food Weathering £ ٢ +/-> Crops, livestock, fish Fibre Trees, standing £ 0 +/-> Decomposition Energy vegetation, peat £ 0 +/-> Water supply Drinking water Ecological interactions £ 0 +/-Wild species diversity Natural medicine +/-£ 0 Meaningful places, Recreation/Tourism> Evolutionary processes terrestrial & aquatic Aesthetic/Inspiration +/-£ 0 Socially valued Spiritual/Religious land- & waterscapes +/-. Soil formation £ 0 Equable climate +/-ocal and regiona 0 £ +/-> Nutrient cycling Flood control Hazard requiation 0 £ +/-> Erosion control Naste detoxificatio Water cycling Pollution control +/-£ Disease & pest 0 Disease/pest control +/-> Primary production 0 £ +/-Unknown services Unknown Millennium Supporting Provisioning Assessment Cultural categories **UK National Ecosystem Assessment** 

Synthesis of the Key Findings

UK National Ecosystem Assessment



# Biodiversity is a regulator, a service and a good (Mace et al. 2012; TREE 27: 19-26)



### Integrated monitoring demonstrated fundamental ecological constraints on ecosystem services







### Land sparing vs land sharing is a gross over-simplification as we want multiple services







Green et al. (2005) Sicence Barastoria

### In addition, Wales now has the GMEP project

### Aims

- To quantify extent, condition and change of Natural Capital assets in Wales
- Attribute change and determine implications for ecosystem services
- Determine impact of land management interventions through Glastir RDP programme as it is going along!
- Scenario analysis, trade-offs and optimisation

### Objective, independent, scientific approach





## Land management scheme



- A whole farm sustainable land management scheme.
- 5 priorities:
  - Combating climate change (regulating)
  - Improving water management (regulating/provisioning)
  - Maintaining and enhancing biodiversity (supporting/cultural)
  - Landscape and historic landscape (cultural)
  - Increasing area and improving management of woodland (provisioning)

GMEP = Glastir Monitoring and Evaluation Programme





### ca.£80M to Welsh farmers every year to benefit the environment and compensate for reduction in income

### The question is: does it?

Landscape, historic environment, access and recreation



Soils and water flow/quality





## The GMEP approach

- Combined monitoring and modelling approach
- Monitoring for:
  - Evidence-base outcomes
  - Deliver reporting requirements
  - Drive models (farmer practice survey)
- Models for:
  - Early results for bidiversity, carbon and water etc!
  - Evaluate difficult to measure outcomes (GHG)
  - Upscale
  - Explore scenarios
  - Identify trade-offs
  - Models as hypotheses







## So we need models: Why LUCI?

- Biophysical process model basis
- Spatially explicit
- Can run on just 3 national datasets (but can use more if available)
- Scale (5m x 5m so can explore subfield scale and integrate to any scale)
- Modular
- Stakeholder friendly interface
- Scenarios, optimisation, trade-offs





## Services currently modelled by LUCI

|  | Service                          | Method  |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
|  | Production                       | Based on slope, fertility, drainage, aspect   |
|  | Carbon                           | IPCC Tier 1 – based on soil & vegetation  |
|  | Flooding                         | Detailed topographical routing of water (5m by 5m)<br>accounting for storage and infiltration capacity as function of<br>soil and land use. |
|  | Erosion                          | Slope, curvature, contributing area, land use, soil type  |
|  | Sediment delivery                | Erosion combined with detailed topographical routing  |
|  | Water quality                    | Export coefficients combined with water flow and sediment delivery models   |
|  | Habitat<br>(Approach A)          | BEETLE – Forest Research's cost-distance approach to dispersal, examines connectivity of habitats   |
|  | Habitat<br>(Approach B)          | Identification of priority habitat by biophysical requirements e.g. wet grassland   |
|  | Tradeoffs/synergy identification | Various layering options with categorised service maps; e.g.<br>Boolean, conservative, weighted arithmetic                                  |
|  |                                  |   |

### GMEP uses an ensemble approach for modelling

|  | Glastir Outcome  |  |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Model name   | Biodiversity   | Climate Change<br>mitigation   | Soil and water<br>flow/quality   | Landscape and<br>historic features | Woodland<br>expansion and<br>management                             |  |  |  |  |
| ADAS GHG and<br>diffuse pollution<br>model   |  | Ruminants and<br>manures; energy;<br>fertiliser emissions<br>(direct and indirect)     | Diffuse pollution,<br>and sediments  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| LUCI   | Connectivity<br>between semi-<br>natural habitats<br>only included for<br>now                            | Impact of land use<br>on biomass and soil<br>C stocks                                  | Runoff/flooding;<br>sediment delivery,<br>N and P export   | Erosion damage<br>possible         | Impacts of<br>woodland loss or<br>expansion on C<br>sequestration   |  |  |  |  |
| LULUCF   |  | Impact of iand use<br>on biomass and soil<br>C stocks only.                            |  |                                    | Impacts of<br>woodland loss or<br>expansion on C<br>sequestration   |  |  |  |  |
| Multimove  | Change in habitat<br>suitability for ><br>1000 higher plants<br>based on 6<br>environmental<br>variables | Interaction<br>between<br>interventions and<br>climate change on<br>plant biodiversity | Impact of change in<br>soil moisture<br>resulting from<br>interventions on<br>plant biodiversity |                                    | Impacts of<br>woodland<br>management on<br>groundflora<br>diversity |  |  |  |  |
| Ecosse   |  | Soil based GHG   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | emissions  |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| LUCI   | Trade-offs and spatial analysis  |  |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| Centre for<br>Ecology & Hydrology<br>INTURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL<br>RESEARCH CO |  |  |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |

### GMEP uses an ecosystem approach to monitoring





- Habitats and linear features
- Species (birds, invertebrates and plants)
- Streams and ponds (habitat, macro-invertebrates, diatoms, aquatic, plants, chemistry)
- Landscape including historic environment features, access and recreation
- Soil (physical structure, erosion, pesticides, nutrients and biodiversity)
- Diffuse Pollution and Climate Change Mitigation
- Economics for farmers & social surveys to identify wider benefits within Wales
- Integration across elements
  - Spatial context of measures
  - trade-offs and co-benefits



implications for Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services



### So many metrics appropriate for SEEA EEA Ecosystem condition and services (LUCI outputs in red)

- Ecosystem condition and extent table
- Biodiversity

Plant, soil, bird, pollinators & aquatic;
 Invasives; appropriate diversity;
 presence of common standards
 species; linear features; connectivity;
 habitat extent; habitat diversity

- Soil 'quality' (physical, chemical & biology)
- Water flow and quality
- Primary production (specific leaf area)
- Historic features condition assessment

- C storage and emissions
- Flood regulation

Services table

- Water quality
- Production (actual and potential)
- Priority habitat and diversity (actual and potential)
- GHG emissions (just added)
- Landscape perception / aesthetic
- Access/recreation
- Direct and indirect employment
- etc

• etc

## Actual versus potential (e.g. Production)

- Potential
  - Land typology based (LUCI)
  - Climate based (JULES model)
  - Forestr models (CARBINE)



- Actual
  - Harvested timber
  - Livestock units
  - AICS data
  - Specific leaf area (proxy for primary production in seminatural systems)

Comparison gives you: •Human modification metric •Potential for restoration •Areas of over or under exploitation





# National maps from LUCI showing areas of current high delivery and opportunities to enhance (driven from 3 datasets)



## Land management interventions tested

- Retain Winter Stubbles
- Allow Woodland Edge to Develop Out into Adjoining Field
- Grazing Management of Open Country
- Grazed Permanent Pasture with No Inputs
- Create Streamside Corridor with Tree Planting
- Mechanical Bracken Control





## Future for LUCI in Wales

- National reporting
- Identify spatial targeting of payments
- How to build in greater resilience
- Identifying alternative interventions they be paying for
- In field assessment and self-reporting by farmers using a LUCI app (linked to 'Mysoil' app – 12million web hits, 12000 users)
- Information for cost-benefit analysis
- National accounts!?







## EC Rural Development Plan +

- Water Framework Directive
- Habitats and Bird Directive
- Convention on Biological Diversity
- Kyoto/UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
- etc
- Metrics (e.g. LUC) used <u>must</u> be consistent across these e.g. landcover change also drives LULUCF GHG reporting





## 3 model comparisons in progress





## Model inter-comparison 1 (River basin scale)

Total Service delivery /

1

2

Habitat / Landscape Richness

3

#### Models: LUCI; InVEST; ARIES

## Spatial context to ecosystem service provision

The role of pattern, configuration, composition, size of landscape units for a test river basin (Conwy, North Wales)

### 4 services:

- Carbon sequestration
- •Water supply
- •Water quality regulation
- Agricultural production





## Model inter-comparison 2 (National scale)

Models: LUCI; InVEST;

# InVEST application at UK scale in progress

Wales included so comparison of outputs with LUCI outputs possible

### 4 services:

- Carbon sequestration
- •Water supply
- •Water quality regulation
- Agricultural production







## Model Intercomparison 3 (Multiple national scale)

#### Models: Co\$ting Nature; InVEST; ARIES

Overarching Aim: : Which Ecosystem Service Models Best Capture the Needs of the Rural Poor in sub-Saharan Africa?"

<u>Obj 1</u>: To explore the appropriate level of model complexity required to map, in sufficient detail to inform policy, ES of importance to poverty alleviation in <u>sub-</u> <u>Saharan Africa</u>.

<u>Ob. 2</u>: To explore the potential and synergies of existing models of ES to make explicit the links between services, their benefit flows and human wellbeing changes of the poor.

|                      | Biophysical data |                     |   |                       | Beneficiary data |          |   |                       |           |             |       |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|----------|---|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| Country              | q                | Cro                 | Stor  | , Naf                 | Gra              |          | Palli   | Cro                   | )<br>Vafi | Gra<br>≯in∩ | n ati |
| Benin                |                  |                     |   | <b>√</b>              |                  |          |   |                       | <b>~</b>  |             |       |
| Burkina Faso         |                  |                     | ✓   |                       |                  |          |   | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> |           |             |       |
| Cameroon             |                  |                     |   | ✓                     |                  |          |   |                       | ✓         |             |       |
| Equatorial<br>Guinea |                  |                     |   | ~                     |                  |          |   |                       | ~         |             |       |
| Ethiopia             | ✓                |                     |   |                       |                  |          | <b>√</b>  |                       |           |             |       |
| Ghana                |                  |                     | <b>√</b>  | ✓                     |                  |          |   | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> | ✓         |             |       |
| Kenya                | ✓                |                     |   | ✓                     | <b>√</b>         |          | <ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul> |                       | <b>~</b>  | ✓           |       |
| Malawi               | 1                | ✓                   | <ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul> | <b>√</b>              |                  | ✓        | <ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul> | ✓                     | ✓         | ✓           | ~     |
| Namibia              |                  |                     |   | <b>√</b>              |                  |          |   |                       | <b>√</b>  |             |       |
| Nigeria              |                  |                     |   | <b>√</b>              |                  |          |   |                       | <b>√</b>  |             |       |
| Sierra Leone         |                  |                     |   |                       | ✓                |          |   |                       |           | ✓           |       |
| South Africa         | ✓                | 1                   | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>   | <b>√</b>              | ✓                | <b>~</b> |   |                       | <b>~</b>  |             |       |
| Tanzania             | <                | <ul><li>✓</li></ul> | <ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul> | ✓                     |                  |          | <ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul> | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> | ✓         |             |       |
| Uganda               |                  |                     |   | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> |                  |          |   |                       | ✓         |             |       |
| Zambia               | ~                |                     |   |                       | ✓                | ~        |   |                       | ~         |             |       |







## Questions?

## bae@ceh.ac.uk



# The UK is rich in data to enable integrated assessments such as that required by SEEA

- Landcover maps at 25m resolution , soils, DEM etc
- Integrated Monitoring Programmes e.g. Countryside Survey
- EU Inspire directive and UK data.gov.uk





www.countryside.org.uk

Countryside Survey

#### DATA.GOV.UK Home Data Apps Interact Location UK LOCATION INSPIR INFRASTRUCTURE · Discovery Metadata Service The INSPIRE Directive 2007 explained Getting Started Guide for European Directive 2007/2/EC de is known as 'INSPIRE'. INSPIRE establishes an infrastructure for spatial information in the European Union and it was transposed into discovery UK law in December 2009. metadata The aim of INSPIRE is to facilitate better environmental policy across the EU. This wil Operational Guid be achieved by: for metadata · improving the joining up of and access to existing spatial data across the about Map Base European Union at a local, regional, national and international level; · facilitating improvements in the sharing of spatial data between public View Service authorities explained · and improving public access to spatial data. Getting Started Under INSPIRE Member States must make available in a consistent format spatial Guide for view datasets which come within the scope of the Directive and also create network services