

SEEA-2003 REVISIO

Issues for Chapters 3 and 4

LONDON GROUP
ON ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC ACCOUNTING
26-30 MARCH 2007
JOHANNESBURG



Environment Accounts and Statistics Division

Division des comptes et de la statistique de l'environnement



Statistics
Canada

Statistique
Canada

Ch. 3 Issues identified by the London Group:

- 1. Elaboration of possible differences between Material Flow Accounts and National Accounts.
 - a. Should bridge tables be advocated?

- 2. Should a single indicator of economy-wide MFA be developed or recommended?
 - a. Should standard methods of aggregation be advocated for the assessment of environmental impact?



Ch. 4 Issues identified by the London Group:

- 3. Harmonisation of concepts and definitions used in waste accounts
 - a. Development of standardised waste tables

- 4. Research into the links between Material Flow Accounts and waste accounts



Energy Issues identified by the London Group:

- 5. Consistency between energy statistics and energy accounts
 - a. Residency principle
 - b. Treatment of mobile energy uses
 - c. Recommendation of bridge tables to link energy statistics and energy accounts

- 6. Advocate standard bridge tables to show differences between Kyoto Protocol (IPCC methodology) and energy/emissions accounts (SNA concepts)

- 7. Specific treatment of renewable energy sources



Results of small survey:

- Feedback on these issues was received from Aldo Femia, Julie Hass, Sjoerd Schenau, and Ole Gravgård Pedersen (kind thanks!)
- In addition, a few further issues were suggested (to follow...)



Environment Accounts and Statistics Division

Division des comptes et de la statistique de l'environnement



Statistics
Canada

Statistique
Canada

Short list of issues based on expert feedback:

- The following issues were identified (with consensus) as
 - A. Important to resolve for the standardised SEEA
 - B. Capable of being resolved within the SEEA revision timeframe, and
 - C. Being worked on, or identified with resources willing to resolve the issue

- 1. Elaboration of possible differences between Material Flow Accounts and National Accounts. (timeframe may be an issue)

- 3. Harmonisation of concepts and definitions used in waste accounts
- 3a. Development of standardised waste tables

- 5. Consistency between energy statistics and energy accounts
- 5a. Residency principle
- 5b. Treatment of mobile energy uses
- 5c. Recommendation of bridge tables to link energy statistics and accounts



Other issues based on expert feedback:

- The following issues were identified (without consensus) as
 - A. Important to resolve for the standardised SEEA
 - B. **Not** capable of being resolved within the SEEA revision timeframe, and/or
 - C. **Not** being worked on, nor identified with resources willing to resolve the issue

- 1a. Should bridge tables (between MFA and SNA) be advocated?

- 6. Advocate standard bridge tables to show differences between Kyoto Protocol (IPCC methodology) and energy/emissions accounts (SNA concepts)



Other issues based on expert feedback:

- The following issues were identified (with consensus) as
 - A. Not important to resolve for the standardised SEEA

- 2. Should a single indicator of economy-wide MFA be developed or recommended?

- 4. Research into the links between Material Flow Accounts and waste accounts

- 7. Specific treatment of renewable energy sources



New Issues identified by the London Group:

- The following issues were newly identified for discussion:

- 8. Related to "4" above, should waste be treated separately from other material flows? (initial feedback suggests "no")

- 9. Should a more precise parallelism between core NA aggregates (SUTs) and MFA aggregates (PSUTs) be developed? (In place of "1a" above)

- 10. Should bridge tables between EW-MFA aggregates and SEEA-like PSUTs be developed? (In addition to the other questions related to ch. 3)

- 11. Development of indicators



In summary:

- As a starting point for discussion the following issues should be considered seriously for the upcoming revision process:

- 1. Elaboration of possible differences between Material Flow Accounts and National Accounts
- 3. Harmonisation of concepts and definitions used in waste accounts
- 3a. Development of standardised waste tables
- 5. Consistency between energy statistics and energy accounts
- 5a. Residency principle
- 5b. Treatment of mobile energy uses
- 5c. Recommendation of bridge tables to link energy statistics and accounts
- 8. Should waste be treated separately from other material flows? (initial feedback suggests “no”)
- 9. Should a more precise parallelism between core NA aggregates (SUTs) and MFA aggregates (PSUTs) be developed?
- 10. Should bridge tables between EW-MFA aggregates and SEEA-like PSUTs be developed? (In addition to the other questions related to ch. 3)
- 11. Development of indicators



Conclusion

- Energy accounts and statistics feature heavily in the list of issues. There will be presentations on this in detail in this session
- Coherence between the SEEA and other existing Material Flow work is also of paramount importance. This topic will also be presented in this session.
- Please consider the issues so far identified as a starting point for discussion and clarification.
- Comments? Questions?



Contacts

Stock Accounts/Valuation

Martin Lemire
Statistics Canada
Martin.Lemire@statcan.ca
613-951-5739

Material and Energy Flow Accounts

(Chapters 3&4 revision coordinator)

Joe St. Lawrence
Statistics Canada
Joe.St.Lawrence@statcan.ca
613-951-7709



Environment Accounts and Statistics Division

Division des comptes et de la statistique de l'environnement



Statistics
Canada

Statistique
Canada